MONTANA STATE-OWNED HERITAGE PROPERTY REPORTING FORM
(2013)

Property Number (e.g 24YL0001): 24BE0538 (Smithsonian Trinomial)
Property Name: Poindexter Slough Bridge
Property Town/Vicinity of: Milepost 2.8 on Secondary Route 222 south of Dillon
Property Date (Year of Origin/Construction or "Precontact): 1936
State Agency (Choose One): MDT
Reporting Year: 2016 (e.g. 2014; 2016; 2018, etc)

Property Type (Choose One): Historic
Property Count (#): ___District ___Building(s)___1.00___Structure(s)___Site(s)___Object(s)

Historic Significance and Property Description:
Comment:
The bridge is a 3-span reinforced concrete T-beam structure that was built in 1936. The bridge was originally located US Highway 91, which was bypassed by I-15 and the route renamed Secondary 222. The bridge is NRHP eligible as an excellent example of this type of concrete bridge that was constructed during the height of the federal New Deal "make work" programs.

Historic Integrity: (Choose One): Excellent
Comment (Explain):
The bridge retains excellent integrity. The design is intact as is all of the original components. Other than routine maintenance, it exists unchanged. The setting of the site is also largely intact. The MDT inspects the bridge every two years and routinely maintains it. It serves in its original capacity as a vehicular bridge crossing a wetlands adjacent to the Union Pacific RR tracks.

Use:
Historic Use: Vehicular Bridge
Current Use: Vehicular Bridge
Comment: (issues, if any, regarding use/functionality)

Status (Choose one): Satisfactory
Comment:
The bridge still serves a vital function on this secondary highway. It is inspected by the MDT every two years and is routinely maintained to keep it open for vehicular traffic. There are no plans to replace or rehabilitate the bridge during the two year cycle for this program.

Condition (Choose One): Excellent
Comment:
The bridge is regularly inspected and routinely maintained. Although 79 years old, it still meets current standards, is not functionally obsolete and will not be replaced or rehabilitated for the foreseeable future.

Stewardship Effort and Cost (Enter all that apply in past 2 years; do not duplicate costs)
If activity, but no calculated/estimated cost available, enter "+". If no activity, enter "0" or leave blank.

$___ Heritage Property Administration/Operations (property-specific)
$___ Heritage Restoration/Rehabilitation/Repair project activity (SOI standards)
$___ Heritage Preservation/Protection project activity
$___ Heritage Research/Documentation project activity
$___ Heritage Interpretation/Education/Awareness project activity
$___ Heritage Promotion/Tourism/Marketing project activity
$___ Heritage Preservation/Conservation Plan Development
$+___ Regular/routine maintenance
$600.00___ Monitoring (documented/reported upon)
$___ Cost to redesign project to avoid adverse effect to property's heritage values
$___ Other heritage stewardship effort/activity (Explain)

Comment:

The bridge is inspected every two years. The most recent inspection (2014) did not reveal any significant structural problems or cause the MDT to consider its replacement when funds become available. The bridge is routinely maintained by the MDT. It does not appear the bridge has required any significant maintenance over the past two years.

Prioritized Maintenance & Stewardship Needs

Rank property for agency priority addressing need among all agency's heritage properties:
Highest (1 = top 20%) to Lowest (5 = bottom 20%) = 5 (1-5)

Comment: List prioritized property-specific preservation maintenance & stewardship needs -

The MDT routinely inspects and maintains the bridge. The MDT has no plans to rehabilitate or replace the structure.

Other Commentκ/2yŕůłyďzŜŘ:

Reported by (Name): Jon Axline_____ψψψψψψψ **Date (MM/DD/YYYY)**: 12/14/2015

Use Submit button to submit completed form to SHPO database¢ ! Ŏ2LJè ø łff ōŜ ăI·ØŜŘ ły˘è2ďzŃ ăŜyŕ ŧ2fŘŜŃ¢

Heritage Property

"Heritage property" means any district, site, building, structure, or object located upon or beneath the earth or under water that is significant in American history, architecture, archaeology, or culture (MCA 22-3-421). Eligibility established through consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office.

Property Count (Taken from National Register of Historic Places)

District: A group, concentration, linkage or continuity of sites, buildings, structures or objects.
Building: Shelter for human activity (including functionally related unit, such as house/garage)
Structure: Functional construction for purpose other than shelter
Site: Location of significant historical event; historic or prehistoric archaeological resource
Object: Non-building or structure, primarily artistic in nature, relatively small and simple

Integrity

Excellent: the primary historic fabric and form of the property is unaltered; features are intact
Good: primary historic fabric is minimally altered; some features missing/replaced; majority intact
Fair: primary historic fabric and form is altered; important features missing; disturbance
Unknown: No or inadequate current information

Status

Endangered: serious negative impacts to property historic integrity occurring, or have occurred, and resource condition is worsening.
Threatened: serious negative impacts to property historic integrity have not occurred, but are impending
Watch: negative impacts to historic integrity have the potential to occur
Satisfactory: negative impacts to property historic integrity are unlikely to occur; or potential/impending loss of integrity has been addressed and mitigated in consultation with State Historic Preservation Office.
Improving: actions completed or underway to improve historic integrity, in consultation with SHPO
Mitigated: Planned/impending loss of historic integrity has been addressed in consultation with SHPO and loss taken into account through agreed upon mitigation.
Unknown: No or inadequate current information

Condition

Excellent: Well preserved; routinely maintained and monitored. If building or structure: meets current codes and use needs, while preserving historic integrity.
Good: Stable; generally maintained and/or monitored. If building or structure: minimally meets current codes and use needs, while preserving historic integrity.
Fair: Stable, but largely unmaintained; needs or will soon need preservation treatment. If building or structure: does not meet all current codes or use needs.
Poor: Unstable; unmaintained; in need of preservation treatment. If building or structure: does not meet current codes, health or safety standards or does not meet use needs.
Failed: Demolished; destroyed; resource is gone or lost its heritage values/eligibility
Unknown: No data