



MONTANA
HISTORICAL SOCIETY
State Historic
Preservation Office

APPENDICES to Montana SHPO Consultation Guide

A HANDBOOK FOR CULTURAL RESOURCE REVIEW AND
COMPLIANCE IN MONTANA

MONTANA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
PO BOX 201201 – HELENA, MT 59620
mtshpo@mt.gov - (406) 444-7715

List of Appendices

- A. References and Resources...3
- B. Glossary of Terms, Acronyms, and Abbreviations Used by Montana SHPO ...6
- C. Answers to Frequently Asked Questions about Section 106 Compliance in Montana...14
- D. Checklists for Various Tasks During Consulting with Montana SHPO...18
 - 1. Requesting File Search and Cultural Resource Data
 - 2. Submitting Site Records and Requesting Smithsonian Numbers
 - 3. Submitting Reports to SHPO for Review
- E. Index to SHPO Forms and Instructions ...25
- F. Researching Your Historic Montana Property for Nomination to the National Register...29
- G. Preservation Laws and Regulations in Montana
 - 1. An Overview...37
 - 2. NEPA/NHPA Integration...43
- H. Special Consultation Guidelines for Cellular Towers and Antenna Structures...45
- I. Irrigation Ditches: Assessing Eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places...56
- J. Cultural Resource Database Attributes: Definitions for Eligibility, Effect Determinations, and Review Actions Used by the Montana SHPO



References and Resources

FOR CONSULTING WITH MONTANA SHPO

Aaberg, Stephen. 2021. *SF 179 Curves South of Roscoe, Carbon County, Montana Cultural Resources Survey Results*. CB 4 40821

ACHP/NPS. 1988. *Identification of Historic Properties: A Decision Making Guide for Managers*.

ACHP

1990. *Consulting About Archaeology*.

Federal Historic Preservation Case Law, 1966-1996

2004. Section 106 Regulations: Protection of Historic Properties (36 C.F.R. Part 800)

2009. Section 106 Archaeology Guidance

2012. Consultation with Indian Tribes in the Section 106 Review Process: A Handbook (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, June 2012)

2012. Native American Traditional Cultural Landscapes and the Section 106 Review Process: Questions and Answers (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, July 2012)

Brewer, Cynthia. 2015. *Designing Better Maps: A Guide for GIS Users*. 2nd Edition. Esri Press.

Cultural Resource Management.

1991 America's Tribal Culture - A Renaissance in the 1990s, 1991 *Cultural Resource Management*, Vol.14 No. 5, NPS.

1999 In the Public Interest, Creative Approaches to Section 106 Compliance, 1999 *Cultural Resource Management*, Vol. 22 No.3, NPS.

1998 The Power to Preserve, Public Archaeology and Local Government, 1998 *Cultural Resource Management*, Vol. 21, No. 10, NPS.

Davis, Carl and Susan Marvin. 1983. *Cultural Resource Inventory Plans: Documenting Inventory Strategies, USFS SCRM#4 Pacific Northwest Region*.

Dielh, Janet and Thomas Barrett. 1988. Conservation Easement Handbook, Land Trust Exchange and Trust for Public Lands.

Department of the Interior. *Standards and Guidelines for Preservation Planning*. Washington, D.C. www.cr.nps.gov

- Federal Highway Administration.
1983. Mitigation Options related to Historic and Archaeological Properties, 1983
Visual Impact Assessment for Highway Projects, Federal Highways Administration, Office of Environmental Policy (FHWA-HI-88-054).
- Gibbon, Guy. 2014. *Critically Reading the Theory and Methods of Archaeology*. Lanham: Altamira
- Grosser, Roger. 1991. Historic Property Protection and Preservation at US Army COE Projects, US Army Corps of Engineers, Technical Report EL-91-11.
- Heizer, Robert and John Graham. 1968. *A Guide to Field Methods in Archaeology*, National Press.
- Hardesty, Donald. 1988. The Archaeology of Mining and Miners: A View from The Silver State, edited By William Turnbaugh, Special Pub. Ser. #6, Soc. for Historical Archaeology, Ann Arbor MI.
- Hardesty, Donald L. 1990. Evaluating Site Significance in Historical Mining Districts. *Historical Archaeology*, 24(2): 42-51
- Hardesty, Donald and Steven Mehls. 1989. *Research Design and Study Plan for the Identification and Evaluation of The Cultural Resources of the 493 Acre Bodie Study Area, Mono Co. CA*, Western Cultural Resources Management, Inc. Sparks NV
- Hodges, Henry. 1986. In Situ Archaeological Conservation, in Proceedings of Meetings April 6-13, The Getty Conservation Institute.
- Judge, W. James and Lynne Sebastian. 1988. Quantifying the Present and Predicting the Past: Theory, Method, and Application of Archaeological Predictive Modeling. BLM, Denver CO.
- King, T. 2013. *Cultural resource laws and practice*. 4th ed. Lanham, Md.: AltaMira Press.
- MacDonald, Anne. 1990. Surface Erosion and Disturbance at Archaeological Sites: Implications for Site Preservation, US Corps of Engineers, Miscellaneous Paper EL-90-6, Feb. 1990.
- Mathewson, et al.
1992. Burial as a Method of Archaeological Site Protection, Christopher Mathewson ET. Al. US Corps of Engineers, Contract Report EL-92-1, Jan. 1992.
1989. Interdisciplinary Workshop on the Physical -Chemical- Biological Processes Affecting Archaeological Sites, Christopher Mathewson, compiler, 1989, US Army Corps of Engineers, Contract Report EL-89-1.
- Montana Department of Transportation. 1982. Historic Bridges of Montana MT Department of Transportation.
- MT SHPO. 1993. *Montana Historical and Architectural Survey Manual*
- National Park Service.
1993. Federal Historic Preservation Laws. Washington, D. C.
1977. *Guidelines for Local Surveys: A Basis for Preservation Planning*. Bulletin # 24.
1991. *Bulletin 15: How to apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation*.
1993. Traditional Cultural Properties. CRM Volume 16, Special Issue.
1993. Protecting Archaeological Sites on Private Lands, Susan Henry, 1993, NPS.
1995. *Archaeology and the National Register*. CRM 18(6) National Park Service\Fred Quivik
1999. Common Ground: Archaeology and Ethnography in the Public Interest (Preservation on the Reservation and Beyond.
- National Trust for Historic Preservation. 1992. Archaeological Resource Protection, Sherry Hutt Ed. Al., 1992, The Preservation Press, National Trust for Historic Preservation.

Neumann, Thomas W. and Robert M. Sanford, 2001. *Practicing Archaeology: A Training Manual for Cultural Resources Archaeology*. AltaMira Press, Walnut Creek, California

Nickens, Paul.

1991 Perspectives on Archaeological Site Protection and Preservation, Paul Nickens, ed., US Corps of Engineers, Technical Report EL-91-6, June 1991.

1993. Use of Signs as a Protective Measure for Cultural Resource Sites, Paul Nickens, US Army Corps of Engineers, Technical Report EL-93-6, April 1993.

US Army Corps of Engineers. 1989 Archaeological Sites Protection and Preservation Notebook, US Army Engineer Waterways Experimental Station, Feb. 1989.

Ware, John. 1989 Archaeological Inundation Studies: Manual for Reservation Managers, US Army Corps of Engineers, Contract Report EL-89-4.

Online Resources

36 CFR 800

<https://www.achp.gov/sites/default/files/regulations/2017-02/regs-rev04.pdf>

Administrative Rules of Montana 10.121.9

<https://rules.mt.gov/gateway/Subchapterhome.asp?scn=10.121.9>

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

<https://www.achp.gov/>

Montana Archaeological Society

<https://mtarchaeologicalsociety.org/>

Montana Code Annotated Title 22-3-4

https://leg.mt.gov/bills/mca/title_0220/chapter_0030/part_0040/sections_index.html

National Association of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers

<https://www.nathpo.org/>

National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers

<https://ncshpo.org/>

National Park Service, publications of the National Register of Historic Places

<https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/publications.htm>

National Trust for Historic Preservation

<https://savingplaces.org/>

Preserve Montana

<https://preservemontana.org/>



Glossary

TERMINOLOGY, ACRONYMS, AND ABBREVIATIONS FREQUENTLY USED BY THE MONTANA SHPO

Glossary of Terms

Action: Any undertaking, including a project or action considered under the Montana Environmental Policy Act, which has the potential to alter or affect the heritage values of heritage properties or paleontological remains.

Adverse effect: the effect wrought on a historic property by a project that results in the loss or diminution of the very characteristics that made the property eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places in the first place. The criteria of adverse effect as well as examples of adverse effects are defined in regulation at 36 CFR §800.5. Examples include physical destruction or damage to all or part of the property; alteration of the property that is inconsistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties; removal of a property from its historic location; change in the use of a historic property; change to the physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its significance; introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's character-defining attributes; neglect that leads to deterioration in

instances other than those where neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious or cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization; transfer, lease, and/or the sale of a property out of federal ownership or control without sufficient enforceable measures in place to ensure the long-term preservation of the property's significance.

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP): an independent federal agency that serves as the chief policy advisor to the U.S. President and Congress on historic preservation matters. There is permanent ACHP staff as well as a presidentially appointed member Council comprised of the heads of federal agencies, select cabinet members, and subject-area experts.

Agreement documents: agreement documents are documents that serve to streamline and customize the Section 106 compliance process. These are legally binding documents that assign particular roles and responsibilities to the organizations and/or agencies who negotiate and sign them. Agreement documents can be project-specific (e.g., a Memorandum of Agreement to mitigate the adverse effects of the demolition of a particular historic property as

part of an undertaking), can speak to an entire class of undertakings within a particular APE whose effects on historic properties are not known at the outset (e.g., a Programmatic Agreement for grazing allotment permit renewals on a lands managed by a specific federal agency is a defined location), can be multi-party, multi-agency, and even multi-state. Some documents, such as Nationwide Programmatic Agreements, are written by federal agencies to guide cultural resource management practices across the country. Others, such as Program Comments, are created by bodies such as the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation after seeking input from other agencies, SHPOs, and organizations or may be crafted by a particular agency to address resources distinct to their installations, their mission, and their agency history. The ACHP website maintains a list of Nationwide Programmatic Agreements, Department of Defense Program Comments, and a host of other useful resources. All executed MOAs are forwarded by the lead federal agency to the ACHP for filing.

Anticipatory Demolition: The willful destruction of heritage properties or paleontological remains prior to an action or the

Area of potential effect (APE)--this is defined in regulation as the geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any are present within the area. The area of potential effects is influenced by the scale and nature of an undertaking and may be different for different kinds of effects cause by an undertaking. The APE is not an arbitrary buffer or search area, instead, it is influenced by the scale and nature of the undertaking and should consider site-specific variables such as topography, height of components of the undertaking, and similar project-specific details. There is no "one size, fits all" APE for projects of a specific type, and the APE may not be a uniform shape.

Artifact: portable evidence of human activity. For example: projectile point, metal can, broken ceramic sherds.

Building: A property that was created principally to shelter any form of human activity.

Certified Local Government (CLG): A local government whose local historic preservation program has been certified by SHPO and National Park Service. It is the local government, and not the Commission, that is certified. 36 CFR 61.

Character-defining attribute: a trait or feature of a historic property that contributes to its physical character and significance (e.g., interior finishes, decorative detail or ornament, distinct material or building fabric).

Compliance: this refers broadly to conforming to the requirements of cultural resource law and regulation. The term is commonly used in reference to Section 106 compliance but can be used to refer any of a host of different cultural resources laws (e.g., ARPA, NAGPRA, NEPA, NHPA, etc.) with which agencies and cultural resources professionals must comply.

Consultation--this is the process of seeking, discussing, and considering the views of other participants and, where feasible, seeking agreement or compromise with them regarding matters arising in the section 106 process. The NHPA contains provisions for both public and tribal consultation on the proposed undertaking as the consultation process may well assist the federal agency in its identification efforts as well as in avoiding, minimizing, or mitigating adverse effects.

Criteria considerations--despite the fact that certain types of properties are not typically considered for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (e.g., religious properties, properties that have been moved, birthplaces or gravesites of famous individuals, reconstructed properties, commemorative properties, and properties of less than 50 years of age), there are seven criteria considerations (a - g,

inclusive) outline circumstances under which those seven "typically not considered" properties might well be deemed eligible for listing in the National Register.

Cultural resource management (CRM)--broadly speaking, this is the management of cultural resources as well as the potential effects they may experience as the result of day-to-day human activity, development, and change.

Cultural resources: a cultural resource refers to any historical, archaeological, or traditional cultural place or object whether it is listed or determined eligible for listing in the National Register. these are things made and/or assigned value to by humans (e.g., historic places, buildings, documents, roads, artifacts, battlefields and other landscapes, hunting camps, mines, sites, or places that are tightly bundled up with a community's ongoing identity, etc.). Cultural resources can be both tangible things as well as cultural practices (e.g., both pine needle baskets as well as the practice of annually harvesting the pine needles by basket makers in a particular basket-making tradition).

Cumulative effects: this is a term that is used under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and, while not defined in the Section 106 regulations, can be understood to denote the incremental impacts or effects of an action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions. It is important to remember that individually minor impacts can collectively result in substantial long-term impacts or effects.

Demolition by neglect: the process of allowing a building or structure to deteriorate to the extent that it comes to represent a public health and safety risk and must be demolished.

Determination of effect: the decision resulting from consideration of all project components and potential for direct and indirect effects, the duration of those effects (if any), the presence/absence of historic properties in the area of potential effect, that ultimately results in

a decision regarding whether a proposed undertaking will result in no potential to effect historic properties, no historic properties affected, no adverse effect, or adverse effect.

Determination of eligibility: the process by which the significance of a resource is determined in relation to its eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

Direct APE: after considering all project components (e.g., staging areas, access to the site, lay down areas, etc.), this is the resulting bounded geographic area within which direct physical effects (e.g., ground-disturbance, demolition, relocation of historic materials) will be experienced.

District: A significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, buildings, structures, or objects united historically or aesthetically by plan or physical development.

Documentation: the act of recording historic and/or cultural resources, frequently through such means as measured drawings, photographs, sketches, etc.

Effect: Alteration to the characteristics of a historic property qualifying it for inclusion in or eligibility for the National Register

Evaluation--refers to the process of determining whether a cultural resource (or group of resources comprising a district) is or is not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places based on meeting particular Significance Criteria or criteria considerations and retention of some or all of the seven aspects of integrity.

Feature: non-portable evidence of human activity. For example: hearth, cabin, adit, midden, or culturally modified tree.

Foreclosure: An action taken by an agency official that effectively precludes the ACHP from providing comments which the agency

official can meaningfully consider prior to the approval of an undertaking.

Ground disturbance: any activity that compacts, disturbs, or displaces the soil within a project area. Ground disturbance can also be caused by the use of hand tools (shovels, pickaxe, posthole digger, etc.), heavy equipment (excavators, backhoes, bulldozers, trenching and earthmoving equipment, etc.), and heavy trucks (large four-wheel drive trucks, dump trucks and tractor trailers, etc.).

Head of the Agency: The chief official of the federal agency responsible for all aspects of the agency's actions. If a state, local, or tribal government has assumed or has been delegated responsibility for Section 106 compliance, the head of that unit of government shall be considered the head of the agency.

Heritage Property: is a term specific to the Montana Antiquity Act and includes all historic properties and significant paleontological sites

Heritage Values: The economic, educational, scientific, social, recreational, cultural, or historic qualities possessed by buildings, districts, sites, structures or objects possessing sufficient significance to warrant consideration under these rules as heritage properties or paleontological remains.

Historic preservation: Includes identification, evaluation, recordation, documentation, curation, acquisition, protection, management, rehabilitation, restoration, stabilization, maintenance, research, interpretation, conservation, and education and training regarding these activities or any combination.

Historic Property: Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. The term includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an

American Indian tribe and that meet the National Register criteria.

Indirect APE: after considering all project elements and potential indirect effects (e.g., visual intrusions, noise, vibration, smell, dust, etc.), this is the resulting bounded geographic area within which those effects will be experienced.

Indirect effect(s): these are the visual, auditory, and/or atmospheric impacts that may result from a proposed undertaking. Indirect effects may be either permanent or temporary in nature and, in the context of a Section 106 review, it is useful to identify the anticipated duration of any indirect effects.

Integrity: the ability of a site or property to convey its historic significance as a result of its retention of sufficient aspects of its historic location, design, setting, workmanship, materials, feeling or association.

Interested Parties: Applicants, tribes or groups directly involved in the action or landowners, tribes, groups, agencies, or institutions with a clear and reasonable interest in the consideration of heritage properties or paleontological remains associated with an action.

Inventory: broadly speaking, an inventory is a list of the cultural resources, by type, age, and/or eligibility, within a given survey area or region. Different levels or classes of inventory are defined by federal agencies for particular types of investigation.

Keeper of the Register--in addition to being possibly the coolest job title ever, the title refers to the individual at the National Park Service to whom any disputed determinations of eligibility are forwarded for a final decision as to whether the resource(s) are eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

Memorandum of Agreement (MOA): A document that records the terms and conditions

agreed upon to resolve the adverse effects of an undertaking upon historic properties

Mitigation: is used to refer to specific process and strategies outlined in an agreement document (most commonly a MOA) in order to ameliorate, offset, compensate for, or ease the adverse effects of a proposed undertaking on a historic property or properties.

Montana State Antiquity Act:

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended: this is a piece of federal legislation that, among other things establishes and defines the nation's historic preservation program, the National Historic Landmark and National Register of Historic Places programs as we know them today, authorized the creation of State Historic Preservation Offices and Tribal Historic Preservation Offices, created the National Center for Preservation Technology and Training, and includes Sections 106, 110, and 304 for taking into consideration the effects of federal undertakings on historic properties, requires that federal agencies engage in survey and inventory so as to know what resources lie within and on the lands they manage, and contains provisions for not making public certain information about cultural resources and practices.

National Register of Historic Places (NRHP): this is the nation's listing of districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects of national, regional, state, and local significance in the areas of American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture. The NRHP is managed at the national level by the National Park Service and at the state level by a coordinator who is typically housed within the State Historic Preservation Office.

Object: A construction that is primarily artistic in nature or relatively small in scale and simply constructed. Although it may be, by nature or design, moveable, an object is associated with a specific setting or environment.

Preservation treatments (preservation, restoration, rehabilitation, and reconstruction): there are four commonly recognized interventions in the field of historic preservation. These are preservation (stabilizing or sustaining the existing form or condition), rehabilitation (finding a compatible use for a historic property through repair, alteration, and addition while retaining its character-defining attributes), restoration (returning a property to its appearance at a particular period in time via removal of all features from subsequent periods and possibly reconstructing any features missing from the target date or period), and reconstruction (creating anew via replication the form, features, or details of a non-surviving resource based on detailed historical research and other empirical evidence).

Principle Investigator (PI) a secretary of interior qualified individual primarily responsible for an inventory and report.

Programmatic Agreement (PA): A document that records the terms and conditions agreed upon to resolve the potential adverse effects of a federal agency program, complex undertaking, or other situations in accordance with 36 CFR Part 800.14(b).

Project Area: all areas where project activities will occur, including: the actual construction activities, permanent easements, temporary construction easements, staging areas for supplies and equipment, and borrow pits.

Property: See historic property

Restore: Conduct major repairs, reconstruction, structural or other improvements on a building, district, object, site, structure, or feature possessing heritage values with the intention of preserving or reconstructing physical features representing those values.

Significance criteria: these are the criteria of inclusion for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. There are four Significance criteria (A, B, C, and D), and each is defined and guidance for the evaluation of resources

against these criteria is provided in *National Register Bulletin 15*. In short, Significance Criterion A relates to important events in the patterns of our history, Criterion B refers to events or places associated with important people in the nation's past, Criterion C relates to distinct types, periods or methods of construction in architecture and engineering, and Criterion D relates to information important in history or prehistory.

Site: The location of a significant event, a prehistoric or historic occupation or activity, or a building or structure, whether standing, ruined, or vanished, where the location itself possesses historic, cultural, or archaeological value regardless of the value of any existing structure. Includes cultural resources, historic properties, and heritage properties.

Site Form: inclusive term for cultural site record forms, site updates, and historic property record forms.

SOI professional qualification standard: established by the National Park Service and appearing in the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeology and Historic Preservation*, these are the qualifications that define the minimum educational and professional experience requirements necessary to perform identification, evaluation, registration, and treatment activities in a cultural resource management and or historic preservation context. To date, standards have been established for the fields of History, Archaeology, Architectural History, Architecture, and Historic Architecture.

SOI Standards for Rehabilitation: established by the National Park Service, and codified in federal regulation at 36 CFR 67, define the parameters for rehabilitation of historic buildings of all periods, styles, materials, and sizes. These standards are required for a host of preservation and compliance activities, spanning everything from the avoidance of adverse effect in a Section 106 context to

qualification for the federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentive program.

SOI Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties: prepared by the National Park Service and codified in federal regulation at 36 CFR 68, these are the detailed instructions for "best practices" in each of the treatments or intervention strategies for historic properties (i.e., preservation, restoration, rehabilitation, and reconstruction).

State historic preservation office (SHPO)/state historic preservation officer (SHPO): this refers to both the office within each state and U.S. territory that administers the state historic preservation program (which is home to a Certified Local Government program, a state and National Register of Historic Places Program, a Historic Preservation Fund grant program, a data management program, review and compliance, and other programs. The latter term refers to the individual who directs that office and oversees management of each of its programs.

Structure: A functional construction made usually for purposes other than creating human shelter.

Traditional cultural landscape (TCL)--as defined by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, unlike an ethnographic landscape--which is a property type as treated by the National Register program--TCLs are viewed as a type of significance that may be associated with multiple property types (e.g., individual sites or districts). It is possible that the much-anticipated updated *National Register Bulletin 38: Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Traditional Cultural Properties* and associated FAQs that will accompany its distribution when finalized may provide additional guidance on the inclusion of TCLs in the nation's National Register inventory and associated cultural resources compliance efforts.

Traditional cultural place/traditional cultural property (TCP): these are historic properties or places that are eligible for listing in the

National Register of Historic Places because of their association with the cultural practices, belief systems, and ongoing identity of a cultural group or community.

Tribal historic preservation office

(THPO)/tribal historic preservation officer (THPO): as defined in the National Historic Preservation Act, a Tribal Historic Preservation Office is the equivalent of a State Historic Preservation Office for federally recognized Indian tribes. The Tribal Historic Preservation Officer is the director or administrator of that office. Not all federally recognized tribes have THPO programs. The tribal official appointed by the tribe's chief governing authority or designated by a tribal ordinance or preservation program who has assumed the responsibilities of the SHPO for purposes of Section 106 compliance on tribal lands in accordance with Section 101(d)(2) of the NHPA.

Tribal Lands: All lands within the exterior boundaries of any American Indian reservation and all dependent Indian communities. ADD?

Undertaking: A project, activity, or program funded in whole or in part under the direct or indirect jurisdiction of a federal agency, including those carried out by or on behalf of a Federal agency; those carried out with Federal financial assistance; and those requiring a Federal permit, license, or approval.

Montana Antiquities Database Eligibility Definitions

The official eligibility determination of a site is made in agreement between the SHPO and the agency, or the Keeper of the National Register—and IS NOT made by a cultural resource professional or an agency alone. Please contact the SHPO if you have questions regarding an eligibility.

Undetermined: This is SHPO's null value. All sites that have never had an eligibility

determination in connection with formal consultation will have this finding.

Unresolved: The eligibility status of this site is unresolved between our office and an agency.

Ineligible: The site has been determined not eligible for the National Register.

Eligible: SHPO and the agency agree that the site is eligible by consensus or a determination has been made by the Keeper of the National Register.

DOE: (Determination of Eligibility) The agency/individual has gone straight to the National Park Service for a determination of eligibility, and the property was determined eligible.

NR Listed: Currently listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

NHL: Currently listed as a National Historic Landmark.

Eligible BLM PA: (Non-Consensus Determination Bureau of Land Management Programmatic Agreement) The BLM could unilaterally declare a site eligible without consensus from MT SHPO. This was part of a programmatic agreement with the BLM. This programmatic agreement is no longer valid, and this determination can no longer be implemented by BLM.

Ineligible BLM PA: (Ineligible Bureau of Land Management Programmatic Agreement) The BLM could unilaterally declare a site as Ineligible without consensus from MT SHPO. This was part of a programmatic agreement with the BLM. This programmatic agreement is no longer valid, and this determination can no longer be implemented by BLM.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACHP Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

AE-R Architecture and Engineering Record

ARM Administrative Rules of the State of Montana

ARPA Archaeological Resource Protection Act

APE Area of Potential Effect

BIA Bureau of Indian Affairs

BOR Bureau of Reclamation

CD Consensus Determination

CE Categorical Exclusion

CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CLG Certified Local Government

CS-R Cultural Site Record

CU-R Cultural Site Update Record

DATA-F Digital Data Request Form

DNRC Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

DOE Determination of Eligibility

DUA Data Use Agreement

EA Environmental Assessment

EIS Environmental Impact Statement

FILE-F File Search Request Form

FONSI Finding of No Significant Impact

FWP Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks

IF Isolated Find (IF-R, Isolated Find Record)

MCA Montana Code Annotated

MEPA Montana Environmental Protection Act

META-F Report Metadata Submittal Form

MOA Memorandum of Agreement

NR / NRHP National Register National Register of Historic Places

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NHL National Historic Landmark

NHPA National Historic Preservation Act

NPS National Park Service

PA Programmatic Agreement

PI Principal Investigator

ROD Record of Decision

SHPO State Historic Preservation Office

TCP Traditional Cultural Property/Place

THPO Tribal Historic Preservation Officer



Answers to Frequently Asked Questions

WHEN CONSULTING WITH MONTANA SHPO

After reviewing the Montana SHPO Consultation Guide, you may still have questions regarding the Section 106 review process. The following answers address common questions posed to the Montana SHPO Compliance Team. We encourage you to reach out to the Compliance Team at any time throughout the consultation process.

WHAT IS SECTION 106?

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires federal agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and afford the ACHP, SHPO, and the public reasonable opportunity to comment on such undertakings. The Section 106 review process seeks to accommodate historic preservation concerns with the needs of federal undertakings through consultation among the agency official and other parties with an interest in the effects of the undertaking on historic properties. The goal of consultation is to identify historic properties potentially affected by the undertaking, assess its effects, and seek ways to avoid, minimize, or mitigate any adverse effects on historic properties (36 C.F.R. Part 800.1(a)).

Federal agencies must account for effects to Historic Properties. A Historic property is a cultural resource which is eligible for or listed in the National Register. Historic properties can range from historic battles fields and famous mansions to lesser known but equally important archaeological sites and traditional cultural places.

WHAT IS A FEDERAL UNDERTAKING?

NHPA defines an undertaking as a project, activity, or program funded in whole or in part under the direct or indirect jurisdiction of a federal agency, including those carried out by or on behalf of the agency; those carried out with Federal financial assistance; those requiring a Federal permit, license, or approval. Types of Federal actions that are not considered undertakings include Federal social security payments, student loans, or grants to libraries for purchasing book.

DO NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS NEED TO BE REVIEWED UNDER SECTION 106?

Yes. Section 106 is part of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and not part of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Therefore, a project that is considered a categorical exclusion under NEPA is not exempted from review under Section 106. However, projects or certain classes of undertakings can be specifically exempted from Section 106 Review through consultation and agreement with the SHPO and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

HOW DOES A PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AFFECT THE SECTION 106 REVIEW PROCESS?

It is possible for a federal agency to customize or expedite the Section 106 review process by entering into a Programmatic Agreement (PA) with the ACHP and SHPO. Use of these agreements may alter the standard Section 106 review and consultation process outlined in 36 CFR Part 800. There are several types of PAs including: (1) PAs for general federal agency programs, (2) project-specific PAs for complex, long-term undertakings, and (3) property-specific PAs for cultural resources with which a federal agency consistently interacts. PAs are most useful for considering the effects of routine and repetitive undertakings in a broad temporal or spatial approach, or where administrative decisions which may affect historic properties will be made before all historic properties in an APE can be identified and evaluated, or before possible effects can be assessed.

Sometimes programmatic agreements are made at the nationwide level, and typically include the ACHP and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers. However, most often PAs are made directly with SHPO. If an agency desires a new PA they must be prepared to present a strong case about why the regular 106 process is untenable. In 2021 Montana SHPO had approximately 125 PAs on record.

HOW LONG DOES SECTION 106 REVIEW TAKE?

The SHPO has thirty calendar days to comment at each step of the review process. The clock starts when SHPO receives the information from the agency. If SHPO responds, even with a request for additional information, that clock restarts and SHPO gets 30 more days from the date the requested information is received.

Therefore, required under the regulations and in the Federal agency's best interest to initiate Section 106 Review early in project planning and to be familiar with the information our office requires, as described in this guidebook.

See the ACHP's document *30-Day Review Timeframes: When are They Applicable in Section 106 Review?* <https://www.achp.gov/digital-library-section-106-landing/30-day-review-timeframes-when-are-they-applicable-section-106>) for more information

CAN THE SHPO RECOMMEND A CONTRACTOR OR CONSULTANT FOR MY PROJECT?

No, as it would be improper for a state agency to give the appearance of steering business to a particular firm or firms. However, we do maintain a list of contractors currently operating in the state. This list is not vetted in any way, and if you want to add your business to this list please contact MTSHPO@mt.gov.

DOES MY CULTURAL RESOURCE CONSULTING FIRM NEED A MONTANA SHPO PERMIT OR LICENSE TO OPERATE?

The Montana State Antiquities Act applies to projects on state lands only. The Act provides, in part, for the review of agency proposed projects and mitigation plans by the SHPO and the issuance of Antiquities Permits (required only for the excavation, removal, or restoration of any Heritage Property on state lands). If you are working on state lands e.g. Fish, Wildlife and Parks, the Universities or the Dept. of Natural Resources and Conservation, etc., you must consult directly with that agency. If a need for an Antiquities Permit develops, the agency will consult directly with the State Archaeologist. Inventory and testing work generally does not require a state Permit. If you work on federal lands you will likely need an ARPA permit from the land managing agency but no Montana state permit is necessary.

Some agencies, for example the Bureau of Land Management, will have individual permitting requirements for individuals working on their land.

WHEN ARE FEDERAL AGENCIES REQUIRED TO CONSULT WITH INDIAN TRIBES?

The NHPA requires federal agencies, in carrying out the Section 106 review process, to consult with Indian tribes when a federal undertaking may affect historic properties of traditional religious and cultural significance to them. An “undertaking” means a project, activity, or program funded in whole or in part under the direct or indirect jurisdiction of a federal agency, including those carried out by or on behalf of a federal agency; those carried out with federal financial assistance; or those requiring a federal permit, license or approval. This requirement applies to all undertakings regardless of project location.

WHICH INDIAN TRIBES MUST BE CONSULTED?

Federally recognized Indian tribes that attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by undertakings must be consulted. Federal agencies must make “a reasonable and good faith” effort to identify each and every such Indian tribe and invite them to be consulting parties. This includes Indian tribes that no longer reside in their ancestral territory but may still have ancestral ties to the area that includes the proposed project. Many Indian tribes were removed from their homelands, while others traditionally moved from place to place. Consequently, an Indian tribe may very well attach significance to historic properties located in an area where they may not have physically resided for many years. If an Indian tribe that attaches significance to a historic property has not been invited to consult by the federal agency, the tribe may request in writing to be a consulting party. The NHPA and the Section 106 regulations require that the agency grant consulting party status to such an Indian tribe.

WHAT IS THE ROLE OF THE KEEPER OF THE NATIONAL REGISTER?

The Secretary of the Interior and National Park Service delegate the responsibility of listing properties and determining the eligibility of properties for listing in the National Register to the Keeper. The Keeper is seldom directly involved in the Section 106 review process because the responsibility to conduct eligibility reviews is delegated to the SHPO. However, should the SHPO and federal agency disagree and not be able to find resolution regarding the eligibility of a cultural resource, the Keeper may be requested to make a final determination for the purposes of Section 106.

I WANT TO DO WORK ON MY NATIONAL REGISTER LISTED PROPERTY, DO I NEED TO CONSULT WITH SHPO?

Owners of National Register listed properties may do what they wish with their private property and private funding. If property owners use federal dollars, federal incentive programs, or do work that requires a federal permit, the federal agency must consult with SHPO under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. State agencies that own historic properties must consult with SHPO under the Montana State Antiquities Act. Local governments might also have design guidelines that property owners are required to follow.



QUICK REFERENCE Checklists

FOR REQUESTING AND SUBMITTING DATA

As described in the Montana SHPO Consultation Guide, the consultation process requires timely and effective communication and information exchange between consulting parties and the Montana SHPO. Equipped with the context and understanding provided by the Guide, consulting parties can turn to the following checklists for quick reference to the steps involved in the consultation process, tips for successfully completing forms and formatting documentation, and steps for submitting and requesting information to and from Montana SHPO.

CHECKLISTS:

1. Requesting a File Search and Cultural Resource Data
2. Submitting Site Records and Requesting Smithsonian Numbers
3. Submitting Reports for Review

Requesting File Search and Cultural Resource Data

CHECKLIST 1



Requesting a file search is often the first step to initiate the consultation process and identify historic properties within your project area. This checklist summarizes steps and provides tips for successfully requesting a file search or additional cultural resource data from Montana SHPO. Before proceeding with this checklist, ensure that you understand the context, criteria, and expectations for requesting file searches provided in Section 1.3 of the Montana SHPO Consultation Guide.

Remember: You and others who are accessing the requested data should be familiar with and understand the parameters of the **Data Use Agreement (DUA)**. Site records, inventory reports, and GIS files will not be released without a signed DUA from each individual within an organization that will have access to the data. File Searches and Cultural Data Requests generally require 15 days for processing. SHPO may recommend investigations beyond a File Search to adequately identify historic properties within your project area. An invoice for the file search will be sent with SHPO's response and results.

STEPS FOR REQUESTING A FILE SEARCH

1. Download and Complete the File Search Form (**FILE-F**)
2. Submit **FILE-Form and a map showing project area** to dmurdo@mt.gov

STEPS FOR REQUESTING CULTURAL RESOURCE DATA

1. Download and Complete Data Request Form (**DATA-F**)
2. Read and sign that you understand the Data Use Agreement (**DUA**)
3. Submit **DATA-Form and DUA** to dmurdo@mt.gov

DOWNLOAD FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS

Forms and instructions for completing a File Search (FILE) Form, Cultural Data Request (DATA) Form, and Data Use Agreements (DUA) are available for download at <https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Forms>

[File Search Form](#)

[Data Request Form](#)

[Data Use Agreement \(DUA\)](#)

The File Search flat fee of \$35 per section includes site records, inventory reports, and GIS data—but these items must be specifically requested at the time of the File Search. A la carte fees apply for Cultural Resource Data requests when these items are requested without a File Search: site records (\$5/record), inventory reports (\$1/MB), GIS shapefiles (\$5/polygon).

Submitting Site Records / Requesting Smithsonian Numbers

CHECKLIST 2



This checklist summarizes steps and provides tips for successfully submitting cultural site records and requesting Smithsonian numbers from Montana SHPO. Before proceeding with this checklist, ensure that you understand the context, criteria, and expectations for requesting Smithsonian numbers provided in Section 2.3.2 of the Montana SHPO Consultation Guide.

Remember: Smithsonian number assignments **require** a complete site record and GIS shapefiles that accurately delineate the site boundary. As described in the guide, complete site records include: the appropriate site form, photographs of the site and features, a site location map (1:24,000 scale), and a sketch map sites with three or more features. **If you have any questions, would like any clarification, or more detailed guidance, please contact the [Cultural Records Assistant](#).**

STEP 1. DOWNLOAD CURRENT SITE FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS

Forms and instructions for completing a Cultural Site Record (CS-R), Architecture/Engineering Record (AE-R), and Site Update (CU-R) are available for download at <https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Forms>. Ensure that you are using the most current version of SHPO forms by checking the website often.

[Cultural Sites \(CS-R\)](#)

[Architecture/Engineering \(AE-R\)](#)

[Site Record Updates \(CU-R\)](#)

1. **Complete CS-R or AE-R form.** Follow instructions to complete all applicable sections of the CS-R (Cultural Site Record) or AE-R (Architecture and Engineering Record) forms.
2. **Create a map(s)** that delineates site boundaries and features (for sites with three or more features).
3. **Collect photographs** that show the site location, features, and other details.

STEP 2. PREPARE SITE RECORD FILES FOR UPLOAD

1. **Combine completed site record forms, photos, and maps into a single PDF file** for each site. Other file formats will not be accepted. If the site already has a Smithsonian number, name this file using the trinomial format; e.g., 24LC0123.pdf.
2. **Prepare a GIS shapefile of the site boundary.** Google Earth kml and kmz files are also accepted. Please contact the [Cultural Records Assistant](#) for more information regarding mapping in Google Earth, or instructions for exporting shapefiles. Note: Presenting all boundaries as separate features within a single shapefile is acceptable, as long as there is an identifying attribute (Temp No. etc). There is no need to spend the time exporting each boundary separately.
3. **Zip your files** to save significant upload time if you are submitting multiple site records and GIS files. Highlight all the files you want to combine, right click anywhere in the highlighted area (make sure all the files remain highlighted), select “Send to” from the pop-up menu next to your mouse pointer, then select “Compressed (zip) folder”. A new folder will be created with the same name, but with a *.zip file extension.

STEP 3. SUBMIT DIGITAL AND HARDCOPY FILES

To receive a Smithsonian number, both paper and digital copies of site records must be submitted to Montana SHPO. However, if you are submitting site records with a respective cultural resource inventory report for compliance review, there is no need to send an additional paper copy.

Mail complete hardcopy site records to:

Cultural Records Assistant—PO Box 201201—Helena, MT 59620-1201
Site forms should include color photographs and maps.

For digital site record PDFs (form, photos, maps) and GIS files with a total size less than 5 MB, you can email files to the [Cultural Records Assistant](#). Files of any size can be submitted through the [State of Montana – File Transfer Service](#) or the Montana SHPO Portal. Instructions for using these services are provided below.

How to Upload Files with the State of Montana File Transfer Service

1. Create a free State of Montana File Transfer Service (FTS) account: follow the instructions online <https://transfer.mt.gov/Home/Login>, or contact the [Cultural Records Assistant](#) for help. Instructions are also available on the FTS sites.
2. Once you are logged in to FTS, click on “Send a new file”
3. Drag and drop, or click on the shaded area to select and upload a single PDF (form, photos, maps) and GIS shapefiles for each site. Multiple site records and GIS files can be compressed into a ZIP folder for improved upload time. Note: Uploading folders is not supported by FTS, only 10 files can be uploaded at a time, and files exceeding 2 GB within a zip file may experience virus scanning issues. Once your files have completed uploading, click on “Continue”
4. Next, select “State Employee or login.mt.gov Customer”, then search for or enter the email address of the desired recipient.
5. In the “Message” area include your name and phone number along with any additional information or comments, then click “Send”.
6. You should receive a “Sent Receipt” indicating upload success and delivery to the addressee. Retain this receipt for your records.
7. **Allow up to one week for processing Smithsonian number requests.** Site records will be checked for accuracy of information such as legal locations, site form categories, map content, and overall completeness. You will be notified when we’ve assigned your new Smithsonian site number.

How to Upload Files with Montana SHPO's Portal

Montana SHPO's Portal is the preferred method when submitting site records along with a cultural resource inventory report. For an efficient upload experience, organize files for your submittal package into three file folders: 1. Report Documents, 2. Site Forms, 3. GIS Files.

Step 1 – To submit digital data (e.g., reports, site records, GIS files) through our portal, you will need to create a State of Montana [File Transfer Service account](#). Once you have a file transfer account, proceed to step 2.

Step 2 – Go to <https://svc.mt.gov/adsams/> and click on **Submit Data to SHPO** on the task bar.



Step 3 – LOG IN to your State of Montana [File Transfer Service account](#)



<https://transfer.mt.gov/Home/Login>

Step 4 – On the DOCUMENT SUBMISSION page, select the appropriate Agency, Consulting Firm, and a Project Name/Number.

DOCUMENT SUBMISSION

Instructions for Data Submission

This page is intended for agency, or cultural resource personnel, to submit their required digital data to the State Historic Preservation Office for review. Paper documentation should be submitted with a cover letter stating when digital was uploaded. If you have any questions, please contact dmurdo@mt.gov

Agency Consulting Firm Project Name/Number

Please have CRIS forms submitted separately from the Report Document

!! Agency and Project Name/Number are required fields. Project name/number must match the paper copy of the report submitted to SHPO.

Step 5 – DRAG AND DROP files: Reports, site record forms, GIS files need to be submitted separately and in the appropriate box.

SEND FILES:

Report Documents

Drop files here to upload

Site Forms

Drop files here to upload

GIS Files

Drop files here to upload

Submit

* After submitting your documents please do not close your browser window until you see the blue processing complete box in each of the three columns.

Step 6 – Once all your files have been dropped into the appropriate boxes click on the green SUBMIT button.

Step 7 – After submitting your documents and you see the “File successfully transferred” and “Processing complete” messages you can close your browser.

File successfully transferred:
Processing complete

Submitting Reports for SHPO Review

CHECKLIST 3



This checklist summarizes steps and provides tips for successfully submitting cultural resource inventory reports and associated documentation to Montana SHPO for review. Before proceeding with this checklist, ensure that you understand the context, criteria, and expectations provided in the Montana SHPO Consultation Guide, especially Chapters 2 and 5, which discuss expectations for collecting and reporting information related to identifying historic properties. Please contact a member of our consultation team if you have any questions: <https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/index2>

STEP 1. PREPARE REQUIRED DIGITAL COMPONENTS FOR UPLOAD

Chapter 5 of the Montana SHPO Consultation Guide provides a suggested format for cultural resource inventory reports and supporting documentation. When submitting inventory reports, site records, and GIS files, remember to also prepare a cover letter and the Report Metadata Submittal Form (META-F, formerly CRABS Form). These components are required as part of a complete consultation package. Organizing files into three folders—report documents, site records, and GIS files—will facilitate the submittal process.

I. Report Documents	II. Site Records	III. GIS Files
<p>a. <u>Project letter.pdf</u> A letter from the lead agency. See 5.4.1 for what to include in this letter.</p> <p>b. <u>Project META.pdf</u> Complete the Report Metadata Submittal (META) form with key information for the antiquities database. <i>Not required for architectural consultation.</i></p> <p>c. <u>Project REPORT.pdf</u> Combine the report and appendices (maps, photos, etc.) into one PDF.</p>	<p>a. <u>24CO1234.pdf</u> A complete site record PDF includes a CS-R or AE-R form, photos, and maps combined into one file and named using the site's Smithsonian trinomial number.</p> <p>b. <u>Project_sites.zip</u> When uploading multiple sites, ZIP site record PDFs into one file to improve upload time.</p>	<p>a. <u>Project GIS.zip</u> GIS files for any inventoried area, cultural resource boundaries, and changes to site boundaries need to be provided as shapefiles, kmz, or kml files. ZIP GIS files to improve upload time.</p> <p><i>GIS is generally not required for architectural consultation.</i></p>

STEP 2. SUBMIT HARDCOPY AND DIGITAL DOCUMENTATION

As of November 2022, hardcopy and digital submittal packages are required for consultation. **The 30-day review period begins upon receipt of a complete submittal package in both hardcopy and digital formats.** If we must request any missing items, the comment period resets to the date our office receives all materials.

Mail Hardcopy Submittal Packages (Letter, META Form, Report+, and Site Records) to:

Montana SHPO, Review and Compliance—PO Box 201201—Helena, MT 59620-1201

Paper submittal packages need to be printed single sided and in color. Plastic covers and binding are discouraged and will be discarded prior to archiving.

How to Upload Files with Montana SHPO's Portal

Please submit files using the Montana SHPO Portal. For an efficient upload experience, organize files for your submittal package into three file folders: 1. Report Documents, 2. Site Forms, 3. GIS.

IMPORTANT! CDs are no longer accepted for digital data and report submittals.

Step 1 – To submit digital data (e.g., reports, site records, GIS files) through our portal, you will first need to create a State of Montana [File Transfer Service account](#).

Step 2 – Go to <https://svc.mt.gov/adsams/> and click on **Submit Data to SHPO** on the task bar.



Step 3 – LOG IN to your State of Montana [File Transfer Service account](#)



<https://transfer.mt.gov/Home/Login>

Step 4 – On the DOCUMENT SUBMISSION page, select the appropriate Agency, Consulting Firm, and a Project Name/Number.

DOCUMENT SUBMISSION

Instructions for Data Submission

This page is intended for agency, or cultural resource personnel, to submit their required digital data to the State Historic Preservation Office for review. Paper documentation should be submitted with a cover letter stating when digital was uploaded. If you have any questions, please contact dmurdo@mt.gov

Agency Consulting Firm Project Name/Number

Please have CRIS forms submitted separately from the Report Document

!! Agency and Project Name/Number are required fields. Project name/number must match the paper copy of the report submitted to SHPO.

Step 5 – DRAG AND DROP files: Reports, site record forms, GIS files need to be submitted separately and in the appropriate box.

SEND FILES:

Report Documents	Site Forms	GIS Files
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

* After submitting your documents please do not close your browser window until you see the blue processing complete box in each of the three columns.

Step 6 – Once all your files have been dropped into the appropriate boxes click on the green SUBMIT button.

Step 7 – After submitting your documents and you see the “File successfully transferred” and “Processing complete” messages you can close your browser.

File successfully transferred:
Processing complete



Forms

HOW TO ACCESS AND USE MONTANA SHPO FORMS AND TEMPLATES

Ensure that you are using the most recent version of forms. Current forms are available for download at <https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Forms>

INDEX TO MONTANA SHPO FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS

Requesting File Searches and Digital Data, and Submitting Reports (See Checklists 1 and 3)

File Search Request

FILE-F

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/FileSearchRequest.xlsx>

Digital Data Request

DATA-F

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/DataRequest.xlsx>

Montana State Historic Preservation Office
Digital Data and Information Use Agreement

The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) maintains the Montana Cultural Resource Database, which contains digital data and information on historic, archaeological, and paleontological properties as well as previously conducted cultural resource inventories. The information contained in this database is controlled and maintained per the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), the Antiquities Act of 1906 (AA), the National Historic Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NHPGRA), as well as the Montana State Antiquities Act and other federal and state statutes. This information is to be used in compliance with applicable federal, state, and local laws.

The Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Database contains information and data in the form of documents, maps, spatial, and other digital data. To requesting and using this information and data, I understand and agree that the following conditions apply:

- The information included in this database is for informational purposes only and may not be copied or reprinted without the prior approval of the State Historic Preservation Office, to individuals who do not meet or work under the direct supervision of persons who possess the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (36 CFR 60.102). This information may not be used for monetary gain.
- The information relating to the location or character of historic, archaeological, and paleontological properties will not be disclosed in a manner that may create a substantial risk of harm, theft, or destruction to the properties or the locations where the properties are located.
- Specific locational information regarding archaeological, paleontological and sensitive historic properties will not be disclosed in public media such as Environmental Assessments, Environmental Impact Statements, or Planning Studies.
- Information and digital data on historic, archaeological, and paleontological properties on Federal or tribal land will not be obtained or used without permission from the relevant agency or tribe.
- There are no guarantees as to the data's accuracy or completeness. The data is dynamic, and periodic updates will occur that may result in previously reported information, data, text, data, maps, errors, omissions, and/or other information being updated. Updates should be reported to the Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Manager.
- The information provided by the SHPO represents only the information that has been formally recorded and documented with the Montana SHPO. Lack of information concerning properties in a location may be due to the lack of cultural resource investigations completed in that area.
- Questions regarding the use, distribution and disclosure of information and data from the State Antiquities Database will be resolved with the Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Manager.

Please complete this Digital Data and Information Use Agreement for each individual within an organization, institution, or agency that is requesting digital data from the Montana SHPO.

Organization Name: _____

Address: _____ City: _____

State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____ Email: _____

Authorized Organizational Representative: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Data Use Agreement

DUA

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Digital_Data.pdf

REPORT METADATA SUBMITTAL FORM (META-F)

Primary Contact: CRABS NHPA AA SHPO Other

REPORT ACCEPTED: YES NO

1. Report Metadata*

FILE CODE	COUNTY CODE	LEAD AGENCY	REPORT DATE

AGENCY/PROJECT NUMBER	OTHER COUNTIES	OTHER AGENCIES	SURVEY AGENCIES

2. Site Data

TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	QUARTER	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	QUARTER

3. Shape*

SHAPENUMBER	SITE NAME	SHAPENUMBER	SITE NAME

* See Instructions and Coding Guide to Report Metadata Submittal Form (see Montana Cultural Resource Assessment Inventory System (CRABS) Coding Guide (https://mhs.mt.gov/shpo/docs/CRABS-Coding-Guide.pdf)) and Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Inventory System (CRIS) Coding Guide (https://mhs.mt.gov/shpo/docs/CRIS-Coding-Guide.pdf) for more information. See also the Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Inventory System (CRIS) Coding Guide (https://mhs.mt.gov/shpo/docs/CRIS-Coding-Guide.pdf) for more information. See also the Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Inventory System (CRIS) Coding Guide (https://mhs.mt.gov/shpo/docs/CRIS-Coding-Guide.pdf) for more information.

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE (SHPO) PO Box 111202 • Helena, MT 59611-1202
(406) 444-7711 • shpo@mt.gov • <http://mhs.mt.gov>

Submit Reports for Review

Report Metadata Submittal Form (META-F)

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/META-F2023_fillable.docx

Instructions and Coding Guide for Report Submittal Form

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/CRABS-Data-Entry-Guide.pdf>

Recording Cultural, Architectural/Engineering, and Paleontological Sites (See Checklist 2)

CSR FORM 1
CULTURAL SITE RECORD

NEW FORM AND INSTRUCTIONS
As of July 2023, the SHPO Cultural Site Record (SHPO) has replaced the Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) and is the primary system for recording cultural resources in Montana. Visit <https://mhs.mt.gov/shpo/docs/CRIS-Coding-Guide.pdf> and https://mhs.mt.gov/shpo/docs/META-F2023_fillable.docx for more information. See also the Montana SHPO Cultural Resource Inventory System (CRIS) Coding Guide (https://mhs.mt.gov/shpo/docs/CRIS-Coding-Guide.pdf) for more information.

RESPONSES
The Principal Investigator is responsible for ensuring that the information in this form is COMPLETE AND ACCURATE as per the Montana SHPO data standards. Please consult SHPO's Standards and Procedures. Cooperative studies are completed with the Montana SHPO for recording cultural and architectural resources in Montana.

SITE NAME/DESCRIPTION DESIGNATION SHAPENUMBER ISSUED BY SHPO/ RECORD TYPE

PROJECT NUMBER PROJECT NUMBER NEW UPDATE

DATE RECORDED BY DATE PHONE (204) 444-7711 EMAIL ADDRESS

UPDATED BY DATE PHONE (204) 444-7711 EMAIL ADDRESS

COUNTY TOWNSHIP STREET ADDRESS CITY/TOWNSHIP(COUNTY)

ADDRESS / POINT OF VIEW ACCESS (OPTIONAL)

UTM COORDINATES OR LAT/LONG FOR THE CENTER OF THE SITE, TO THE 4TH DECIMAL

TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	QUARTER	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	QUARTER

CURRENT ADMINISTRATIVE/CURBANCE OWNERSHIP CURRENT USE Public Private

ORIGINAL ADMINISTRATIVE/CURBANCE OWNERSHIP ORIGINAL/ACTING USE Public Private

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE (SHPO) PO Box 111202 • Helena, MT 59611-1202 | (406) 444-7711 • shpo@mt.gov • <http://mhs.mt.gov>

Cultural Site Record

CS-R Form

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/CSRForm.docx>

CS-R Types List

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/CSR_AER_Codes.pdf

CS-R Instructions

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/CSR_AER_INSTRUCTIONS.pdf

Guidelines and Samples for Site Record Photo and Map Attachments

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Photo_Map_Attachments.pdf

Architecture/ Engineering Record

AE-R Form

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/AERForm.docx>

AE-R Types List

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/CSR_AER_Codes.pdf

AE-R Instructions

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/CSR_AER_INSTRUCTIONS.pdf

Guidelines and Samples for Site Record Photo and Map Attachments

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Photo_Map_Attachments.pdf

Update to Cultural Sites and Architecture /Engineering Records

CU-R Form with Instructions

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/CSR_AER_Update.docx

Guidelines and Samples for Site Record Photo and Map Attachments

https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Photo_Map_Attachments.pdf

Isolated Find Record

IF-R Form (Word and PDF formats)

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Isolated-Find-Form.doc>

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Isolated-Find-Form.pdf>

Stone Circle Attribute Form
General Data

Site Name # _____ Smithsonian # _____

Area	Site	Back	Wall	Interior	Base	Outcrop	Base	Base	Base	Base
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66
67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77
78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88
89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99
100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110

Surface Cultural Material: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____ Station: _____ File Label: _____

Stone Circle Record

SC-R Form (Word and PDF formats)

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Tipi-Ring-Forms.doc>

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Tipi-Ring-Forms.pdf>

Appendix 12
Montana Paleontological Information System Form
Form No. 1 General Information

1.1 Smithsonian Number: _____

1.2 Original Site Number/Name: _____

1.3 County: _____

1.4 Township/Range/Section/Quarter Section: _____

1.5 UTM Coordinates: Zone _____ Northing _____ Easting _____

1.6 Administrative/Surface ownership (Forest/Range/District/Office): _____

1.7 Map Reference (attach 8-1/2" x 11" USGS photocopy): _____

1.8 Narrative of access including nearest city/town: _____

1.9 Geologic Time Period (Circle One):
Precambrian (p) Cambrian (C) Ordovician (O) Silurian (S)
Devonian (D) Mississippian (M) Permian (P) Triassic (T) Jurassic (J) Cretaceous (C) Tertiary (t)
Quaternary (q)

1.10 Dominant Taxa (Check up to four):
Bryozoa(B) Mammal(M) Fish(F) Reptile(R) Bird(B)
Amphibian(A) Invertebrate(I) Organism(O) Trace Fossil(T)

1.11 Depositional Environment (Circle One):
Terrestrial(T) Marine(M) Transitional(T)

Paleontological Record

PALEO-R Form (Word and PDF formats)

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/Paleo-Form.doc>

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/paleontological-form.pdf>

National Register Nominations and Property Research

NPS Form 10-900
OMB Control No. 1024-0102

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in National Register Bulletin No. 38. Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form. If all items do not apply to the property being nominated, use "N/A" for "not applicable." For historic, architectural, scientific, and other types of significance, only only appropriate and appropriate to the nomination.

1. Name of Property
Historic name: _____
Other names/old number: _____
Name of related multiple property listing: _____
(Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing)

2. Location
Street & number: _____ State: _____ County: _____
City or town: _____ Vicinity: _____
Not For Publication: Not For Publication:

3. State/Federal Agency Certification
As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, I hereby certify that this _____ nomination _____ request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property _____ meets _____ does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance: _____ national _____ statewide _____ local
Applicable National Register Criteria: _____ A _____ B _____ C _____ D

Signature of certifying official/Title: _____ Date: _____
State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government

NRN-F (National Register Nomination Form) (PDF)

<https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/national-register-forms.htm>

How to complete National Register Forms (nps.gov)

<https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/publications.htm>

Montana Property Research Guide (PDF)

<https://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/docs/MontanaResearchGuide.pdf>



Researching Your Historic Montana Property

FOR NOMINATION TO THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

This research guide is designed to help you compile the information necessary to nominate a property to the National Register of Historic Places. As you conduct your research, you will find yourself visiting a variety of offices at the county courthouse, spending a few hours at the local library, contacting or visiting the Montana Historical Society Library in Helena, and interviewing some longtime residents of your area. After you've filled out the Montana Historic Property Record, return it to us at the State Historic Preservation Office. Once we establish that the property is eligible for listing, we will review, edit, and help with any revisions needed for finalizing your work into a National Register nomination form. Good luck!

If you have any questions, please contact the National Register Coordinator, State Historic Preservation Office, PO Box 201201, Helena, MT 59620-1201, Phone: (406) 444-3647. Email: JBoughton@mt.gov

COURTHOUSE RESEARCH

The county courthouse is a great place to begin your historical detective work. Records housed in the County Clerk and Recorder and Tax Appraiser's Offices can be invaluable in your quest to learn about your property.

Title Search: If you have access to an "Abstract of Title"--a compilation of deed records for the property prepared by a title insurance company--someone has already done this work for you! In most cases, however, "Abstracts of Title" have been lost over the years or kept by a previous owner.

If you don't have an "Abstract of Title," you must go to the county courthouse and delve into the Clerk and Recorder's deed books yourself. The staff there will show you how to use these records. Every county identifies property by legal description--lots-and-block in towns and by section-township-range in rural areas.

Please trace the changes in ownership of the nominated property, noting dates when the property changed hands, and the purchase price recorded on the old deeds. The purchase price can be an important clue as to when a house or other building may have been built on the property. Complete the title search by listing the present owner of the property and working your way back to the first owner.

Probate Records and Wills: As you read the deeds, you may see reference to wills or other legal instruments filed with the probate court. These records sometimes included property inventories. Property inventories often list the major household furnishings and personal property of a deceased person, which can indicate how a house was decorated, the income level of the past owners, and other historical information. Note the date of a person's death if it is given, it will help locate obituaries in the local newspapers.

Tax Records: The Tax Appraiser's records will list the current owners, the legal description of the property, a description of the building materials, the number of rooms, sometimes an estimated construction date, and often descriptions and dates of major alterations.

CITY RECORDS

Building Permits: The City Building Inspector or County Engineer may have a collection of building permits dating back to the early 20th century or even the late 19th century. Few counties and cities have preserved these records, so if you find a permit for the building you are researching, congratulations! Building permits will often give the date of construction, and the names of the architect, builder and original owner of the building. Make note of all information provided on the building permit.

Water and Sewer Records: these record the initial connection of water and sewer lines to buildings within towns and cities. Generally, hook-ups occurred the time of construction or shortly thereafter. Sometimes the building contractor or architect is listed. Ask for these at the city or county Engineering Department.

GENERAL LAND OFFICE RECORDS

Bureau of Land Management (BLM) State Office: The Bureau of Land Management State Office holds the serial register books, historical indices, and tract book information for each township in Montana. These documents are arranged by township, range and section, and are the original, hand-written entries of applicants for homestead and cash purchases of property from the United States government. The information includes the type of homestead/purchase, the patentee's name, the legal location of the property, the date of application, date of patent/cancellation, and patent number.

Also available are the original GLO survey maps that date to the late 1880s through the 1920s, some with updates and resurveys. These maps were drawn for each township prior to its being released for entry. Together with the survey notes, they offer wonderful historic views and descriptions of the landscape, including existing buildings, transportation routes, and topography.

Much of the above information can be found at: <http://www.glorerecords.blm.gov/default.aspx>. This website is managed by the BLM to aid in searches for homestead and patent information. A handy interactive database and easy-to-use search engine allow you to locate the dates, legal location, and patent numbers for homestead claims filed with the General Land Office.

The BLM State Offices are located at 5001 Southgate Drive, P.O. Box 36800, Billings, MT 59107-6800. They can be reached by phone at (406) 896-5000. Their general website is found at: <http://www.blm.gov/mt/st/en.html>.

Homestead Records: The National Archives maintains the Case Reports for homesteads. These files contain information homestead applicants filed with the local land office during the patenting process. They include descriptions of buildings and improvements and their dates of construction; the number of acres broken each year and the crops planted; a list of family members and their places of birth; and for foreign-born applicants, the port and date of entry into the United States. More detailed descriptions of life on the homestead are sometimes given, especially if the final patent application was challenged. Case Reports can be obtained through the BLM State Office or by writing: Old Military and Civil Records (NWCTB-Land), Textual Archives Services Division, National Archives and Records Administration, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, D.C. 20408-0001. Send the National Archives an e-mail at inquire@nara.gov or call (301) 713-6800 to request order forms (NATF Form 84) for copies of land entry files. To locate the report, the Archives need the name of the patentee, the state in which the land was located, the land office location, approximate date of entry, the type of entry (cash, homestead, mineral, etc.), legal location and, if possible, the patent number. This information is available on the BLM website. Be prepared to wait several weeks for the information to be returned to you.

HISTORICAL SOCIETIES AND LIBRARIES

Every property nominated to the National Register represents or reflects larger trends or patterns of local settlement and history. To understand how a place fits into our history, it is important to describe what was going on in the area during the historic period. Public libraries and historical societies hold a wealth of information on historic properties in books, articles and records. Information on local historical events and patterns, and important people will help to define the history and significance of your historic property.

Local History: Almost every county in Montana has had its history compiled by local researchers. Many of these county histories were written during the mid-1970s to celebrate the nation's bicentennial. Examine these county histories for information on the specific property that you research. Look also for clues about the historical development of the community or region at the time buildings were constructed, and the prominence of the historical building owners. Please list the local histories, pamphlets, etc. that you found useful, and provide author, title, publisher, date of publication, and page numbers. Many county histories are found online through the Montana Historical Society website: <http://mtmemory.org/cdm/search/collection/p15018coll43/>.

City Directories: Most libraries have a collection of city directories published by the J.R. Polk Company dating from the 19th Century. Look up the names of property owners gathered from your title search to see if they lived or worked in the building being nominated. Scan through all of the directories that you can to see how long a person or business occupied the building. Early directories also sometimes encompassed an entire county rather than a just a townsite.

Montana Historical Society: A trip to the Montana Historical Society Research Center should also occur. Research facilities are open Tuesday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:00, Saturday, 9:00 – 1:00 and are closed on holidays. If distance from the Historical Society precludes a visit, a reference historian can help. Listed below are some of the records housed at the Society. A fee for research and photocopies is charged. You can request information by phone at (406) 444-2681 or via e-mail by writing to: mhslibrary@mt.gov. Send correspondence to: Reference Historian, Montana Historical Society Library, P.O. Box 201201, Helena, MT 59620-1201.

Sanborn Fire Insurance Company Maps: If the property you research is located within a platted townsite, it may appear on one or more of the Sanborn Fire Insurance Company's maps. The Sanborn Company prepared thousands of very accurate maps for over 200 towns in Montana from the late 19th through the mid- 20th centuries. These maps show the "foot print" of every building and structure within a townsite and indicate whether they were built of wood, log, stone, or concrete; the number of stories; and the location of porches, chimneys and windows. Since these maps were redrawn every 4-15 years, you can also see how the buildings were added to and altered over time. (To request photocopies of the Sanborn maps for your property from the MHS Library, write or e-mail the reference librarian, and include detailed information regarding your property's location.) Maps can also be found online at: <http://sanborn.umi.com/>. Use username "bitterroot" and password "welcome1&".

Vertical Files: Miscellaneous historical information has been collected in many libraries under subject headings. These files include letters, short monographs, newspaper articles, notes, and short pamphlets. Describe your research project to the librarian and something may turn up for you in the vertical files.

Census Records: The 1870, 1880, 1900, 1910, 1920, 1930 and 1940 U.S. census records, (the 1890 census burned up!) are available online. The most popular way to access this information is through www.Ancestry.com. They list the persons residing in each house in a town as well as the age, occupation, place of birth, whether owner or renter, and length of time in the country. They document the growth of settlements, towns and counties and statistics on such things as agriculture, industry and education.

Historical Newspapers: Newspapers are often the best source of information on the construction of commercial and public buildings. Newspapers contain a treasure trove of information on local historical development and the activities of historical people that you'll find nowhere else. Copies of historic newspapers may be obtained at the local library, historical museum, or perhaps the local newspaper office. Many newspapers for Montana dating from 1864-1922 can be found online at the Chronicling America website: <http://chroniclingamerica.loc.gov/> and at www.Ancestry.com.

If you've learned dates of construction for the property you're working on, scan through the newspapers for an article announcing the plan to build (often in the spring and usually six months to a year before construction is completed) and also look for an article heralding the building's dedication or its grand opening. Also check around the New Year, when newspapers often listed every new building completed within the previous year in the community.

When you lack a construction date for a building, review the newspapers for the years beginning just before and ending just after the likely construction date. If this fails, scanning through a number of years of newspapers looking for some reference to the building may be the only hope. This hit-and-miss tactic does occasionally pay off. You will definitely find all sorts of interesting articles that have absolutely nothing to do with your research, but we promise you will be well entertained!

Obituaries: The MHS Library and many local historical societies have indexed the collected biographies and newspaper obituaries for Montana persons. While using the historic newspapers, you'll want to look up the obituaries of the persons associated with the property you are researching. Often the papers prepared brief biographies of the deceased, which summarize their achievements. Be sure to look for obituaries for the women and children, as well. Obituaries can also be found using Ancestry.com.

Cemetery Indices: Many counties in Montana maintain indices of the internment records for local cemeteries. These lists can be used to identify where a person lived, when he/she died, the date of death, date of internment, and in some cases, the cause of death. Several of these indices are available online at: <http://www.interment.net/> and <http://www.findagrave.com/>.

Statewide Death Index: The Montana Historical Society Library holds this index for the years 1910 to 1966 for all counties in Montana. It lists the person's name and date of death, and after 1919, and includes the county where the person died. This website can be accessed at: <http://www.deathindexes.com/montana/>.

Marriage Records: These records are available for all Montana, though the period of history covered varies from county to county. The Montana Historical Society holds a set of these records, and specific county information may be available at local libraries. Many records can also be found online, such as through Ancestry.com, though in some cases, a fee may apply.

Biographies: Many prominent Montanans paid to have their life story printed in state histories. "Subscription" biographies were often written in the most complimentary fashion, but still provide substantial information on a person's contributions to local history. Check for biographical files and these references at the MHS Library, many local libraries, and online:

Progressive Men of Montana, Chicago: A.W. Bowens & Co., 1902.
History of Montana: 1735-1885, H.A. Leeson, Chicago: Warner, Bear & Co., 1885.
History of Montana, Joaquin Miller, Chicago: Lewis Publishing Co., 1894.
History of Montana, Robert George Raymer, Chicago: Lewis Publishing Co., 3 vol., 1930.
A History of Montana, Helen F. Sanders, Chicago: Lewis Publishing Co., 1913.
Montana: Its Story and Biography, Tom Stout, Chicago: American Historical Society, 1921.
A History of Montana, Merrill G. Burlingame and K. Ross Toole, New York: Lewis Publishing Co., 1957.

Manuscripts, Journals, Oral History: First person accounts of the history of an area, a family or a building are one of the richest sources of information about the people and events that make a property important. Personal accounts breathe life into history, with wonderful stories and details of everyday life that don't get recorded elsewhere. Personal reminiscences from the historical time period of a property's use, or talking with long-time residents will quickly take you to the heart of who lived in or used your building, what events took place at the property and how the architecture changed over the years. Every attempt should be made to verify oral information in the written record, as required by the National Register.

A number of local historical societies, as well as the Montana Historical Society Research Center, hold oral history collections recordings. These collections, and many others, are available for use at the MHS Library and at local repositories such as county historical societies and tribal cultural programs. A guide to the MHS oral history collection and transcripts or brief summaries of these interviews are available. All oral history materials can be obtained through inter-library loan.

Archives: Depending on your research interests, there may be treasures in manuscript collections awaiting your discovery. The MHS collections are indexed in the MHS Library, and because this collection is so extensive, you should plan to personally peruse these holdings. There are also archives at the University of Montana, Montana State University, the Butte-Silver Bow Archives, and sometimes at local historical societies and public libraries. Both the University of Montana – Missoula and Montana State University – Bozeman have extensive archival collections that include architectural drawings from many Montana architects, as well as collections pertaining to families, businesses, and topics donated from across the state.

Photographic Archives: Over 500,000 historical photographs of Montana people and places are available at the Photographic Archives at the Montana Historical Society. For building researchers, a historical photograph can be the most important single source of information. Most of the photographs at the MHS are catalogued by town name and subject; you will probably need to look through the photographs for yourself to see if your building shows up! This office is open to the public only in the afternoons, Monday - Friday from 1:00 - 5:00.

Many local libraries, historical societies, and museums have collections of historic photographs available to researchers. Also ask your neighbors and former owners to see if they might have some family photos that show the exterior or interior the buildings.

TRIBAL CONSULTATION

What is now the state of Montana has been inhabited for thousands of years by numerous Native American nations. It is important to understand that the significance of a place may be different things to different cultural groups. To fully appreciate your property, contact the culture committees of the area tribes, and ask them how the area was used by their people, and what historical, cultural, or spiritual associations they have there. If you don't know who to call, contact our office at (406) 444-7715, and we can put you in touch with tribal preservation offices, cultural committee members and tribal historians. A list of tribal contacts is found at: <http://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/PreservationHelp#Tribal>

WEB SITES

The Internet has open innumerable archival collections and other information to researchers. Many fun and interesting web sites exist that can aid you in your search for both specific and broader contextual information. Here are just a few to start with, but play around with your favorite search engines and see what comes up! Remember – information found on the Internet must be documented in your bibliography and footnotes so keep track of the webpage addresses and the date it was accessed!

The Library of Congress American Memory Homepage: The Library of Congress digital library maintains a huge collection of documents and photographs available online. From historic landscape

photos to biographical information, the site offers downloadable images and text that can be used in researching a historic property. It's fun and easy to use, and is found at <https://www.loc.gov/collections/> .

The National Archives and Records Administration: Connect to <http://www.archives.gov/> for access to research guides and findings aids for the National Archives Collections.

The University of Montana and Montana State University Websites: Both UM and MSU offer access to online library catalogs and finding aids for manuscript collections. [UM: <http://www.umt.edu/> ; MSU: <http://www.lib.montana.edu/>] In many cases, you can request materials from the University system through your local library's interlibrary loan program.

THE FINALE

The National Register of Historic Places requires that nominations be accompanied by maps and recent photographs of the property:

- **USGS map:** A USGS 7.5' topographic map must accompany each nomination to the National Register. With technological advances, these maps no longer need to be submitted as a hard copy, but instead can be submitted digitally as a page of a nomination. The SHPO can help with this portion of the nomination if assistance is required.
- **Other maps:** If you are nominating a complex of buildings, draw a sketch map to show how the buildings are situated on the property.
- **Floor Plans:** Floor plans should be measured if possible (to show the dimensions of the rooms), but they need not be drawn to scale. When many additions have been made to a building over the years, floor plans can help us sort out the changes. Please write the dates of each addition on the floor plan. Sometimes floor plans or blueprints can be found during one's research.
- **Photocopies of Research Materials:** As you conduct your research, please collect photocopies of pertinent historical newspaper articles, biographical sketches, advertisements, etc. Note the dates and in which paper the article appeared.
- **Current Photographs:** Digital photographs have replaced hardcopy photographs. Be sure to include photographs of every side of the building. If you are nominating a complex of buildings (such as a farmstead, mine site or ranger station) provide at least one shot of each structure. Photographs of significant architectural details and interiors are optional. National Register guidelines for digital images can be found at: https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/upload/Photo_Policy_update_2013_05_15_508.pdf.
- **Historic Photographs:** If at all possible, send along copies or images of historical photographs of the nominated building(s). Although the National Register does not require historical photographs, they are an important resource when describing the historic appearance and construction history of a property allowing readily discernable changes to a property from its original time of construction to the present.

The National Register has specific requirements for labeling photographs. One of the last sheets of the National Register form provides an outline of what information should be provided for each photograph. The information includes:

- Name of Property
- Property Address

- Name of County
- Name of State
- Name of Photographer
- Description/direction of View
- Date of Photograph

The Historic Preservation Office will review the information that you provide and then contact you to discuss completing an official nomination to the National Register of Historic Places.

Once the nomination is in final form, we will schedule the property for consideration by the State Historic Preservation Review Board at its next quarterly meeting. This board has been appointed by the governor to review all nominations to the National Register of Historic Places. If they approve the property for nomination, the forms will be submitted to the Keeper of the Register in Washington, D.C. for final approval. The Keeper generally takes 45 days from the time they receive the nomination to complete the review and formally list a property in National Register.

Thank you for your effort and your interest. We hope you have enjoyed the time spent on your research project, and that you unearthed many interesting pieces of information and entertaining stories along the way.



Preservation Laws and Regulations in Montana

AN OVERVIEW

Several federal laws, state laws, implementing regulations, executive orders, policies, and guidelines have been enacted to regulate and manage cultural resources. This document appends the Montana SHPO Consultation Guide and provides an overview of laws and regulations relevant to preservation of cultural and historic resources in Montana. For a deeper understanding, please refer to the list of resources at the end of this document.

NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT (NHPA) OF 1966

In 1966, Congress enacted the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), which declared that the preservation of our Nation's irreplaceable heritage was in the public's interest. The NHPA called upon federal agencies to expand and accelerate preservation activities in a spirit of stewardship and partnership with American Indian tribes, the public, state and local governments, and other interested parties. The NHPA also established several institutions: the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), State Historic Preservation Offices (SHPOs), Tribal Historic Preservation Offices (THPOs), the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), and the Section 106 review process.

When congress passed the NHPA they recognized that many federal actions were contributing to the loss of historic places. NHPA requires that Federal agencies consider the effects

of their undertakings on historic properties and mandate early consultation to try and avoid or mitigate all adverse effects.

SECTION 106 OF THE NHPA

Crucial to the preservation of the historical and cultural foundations of the nation, Section 106 of the NHPA and its implementing regulations, [36 C.F.R. Part 800](#) (PDF) (revised August 5, 2004) require Federal agencies to consider the effects of projects they carry out, approve, or fund on historic properties.

A Federal project that requires review under Section 106 is defined as an "undertaking." An undertaking means a project, activity or program funded in whole or in part under the direct or indirect jurisdiction of a Federal agency, including those carried out by or on behalf of a Federal agency; those carried out with Federal financial assistance; and those requiring a Federal permit, license, or approval.

If the Federal agency determines the undertaking has the potential to adversely affect historic properties, the agency must make a reasonable and good faith effort to consult with interested Federal and state agencies, American Indian Tribes, the public, as well as the State Historic Preservation Office and/or the associated Tribal Historic Preservation Office to identify possible historic properties located in the Area of Potential Effects (APE). This identification effort often includes a new survey or inventory to locate and identify previously unrecorded prehistoric or historic properties. All properties identified in the survey or inventory effort are then evaluated by the agency to determine whether they are eligible for listing in the [National Register of Historic Places \(NRHP\)](#) (PDF) by using the National Register of Historic Places Criteria for Evaluation. All eligibility findings are determined in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office/Tribal Historic Preservation Office and any American Indian Tribe or interested party that attaches cultural or religious significance to the impacted properties.

If the agency determines that the undertaking will have an unavoidable adverse effect on one or more NRHP-eligible historic properties, the agency consults further with the required and appropriate parties to establish necessary mitigation strategies. The agency may then enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with consulting parties to formalize the agreed-upon mitigation measures.

Four Steps of the Section 106 Review Process

The four-step process originates in the implementing regulations (24 CFR 800) of the NHPA. Section 106 is the process federal agencies take when considering the effects to historic properties caused by their actions.

It is federal agencies, rather than project proponents, that must comply with cultural resource laws and regulations, and that SHPO is but one consulting entity in the process. The Section 106 review process (often referred to as "compliance") can be understood as a set of four (4) sequential steps of identification, assessment, and evaluation carried out by agencies in consultation with the SHPO and others:

1. Determine Undertaking
Includes: Identify all involved parties, initiate consultation process, define the undertaking and area of potential effect
2. Identify Historic Properties
Includes: Background research, search for cultural resources that may be impacted, evaluate cultural resources
3. Assess Adverse Effects
4. Resolve Adverse Effects

Although 36 CFR Part 800 differentiates between the steps, steps may overlap in practical application. For example, some agencies combine the identification and effect assessment steps (Steps 2 & 3). This is often done by conducting cultural resource surveys (the physical search for and recording of cultural resources) and submitting an inventory report (a compilation of information resulting from field survey, records or archival research, oral interviews, and other information about cultural resources in the area of concern) to the SHPO along with the federal agency's determinations about the significance of identified sites and possible impacts of the undertaking.

Each step must be completed for all historic properties before formally moving to the next step. (Programmatic Agreement may allow exceptions). For example, the SHPO is unable to concur with an effect finding (the overall or comprehensive effect of an action or decision) until the resolution of the Eligibility of all cultural resources and the impact on all eligible properties that are not avoided. Impacts to each historic property are considered in a comprehensive effect assessment, which takes into account the total effect of the undertaking on all historic properties in the subject area. As the regulations highlight at 36 CFR 800.3(g), these sequential steps are also intended to provide consulting parties and the public adequate time to review and comment at specified and known points in the Section 106 review process.

The National Historic Preservation Act section 106 requires federal agencies to follow the four steps in consideration of historic Properties. People therefore often call the process – "section

106.” *Section 106* applies whenever there is federal agency involvement: It takes place on federal land, uses federal money (including grants), or requires federal permits.

SECTION 110 OF THE NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

Federal agencies are directed under Section 110 of the NHPA to identify, evaluate and utilize historic properties under their management and planning. Section 110 also requires that federal agencies consult with the SHPO, pursuant to their responsibilities under Section 106. Section 110 calls on all federal agencies to establish their own historic preservation programs to head this effort.

Added to the NHPA in 1992, Section 110 requires Federal agencies to emphasize the preservation and enhancement of cultural resources. Section 110 directs agencies to initiate measures necessary to direct their policies, plans, and programs in such a way that federally-owned sites, structures, and objects of historical architectural or archaeological significance are preserved, restored, and maintained for the inspiration and benefit of the public. The agencies are also encouraged to institute (in consultation with the ACHP) procedures to assure Federal plans and programs contribute to the preservation and enhancement of non-Federally owned sites, structures, and objects of historical, architectural, and archaeological significance.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

The National Register of Historic Places (National Register) is the nation's official list of cultural resources deemed worthy of preservation. Authorized under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, the National Register includes districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that are significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, and culture. Although the National Register is a program of the National Park Service, it is administered at the state level by each respective state. In addition to the recognition that listing provides, registered properties are afforded a measure of protection from projects that are funded, licensed, or

executed by the federal government. Criteria for eligibility to the National Register are listed in the evaluation section of Chapter 4. The National Register criteria are directly relevant to the Section 106 review process Properties listed on or eligible for the National Register are Historic Properties or Heritage Properties under NHPA and the MTA. Some grants, tax credits, and funding opportunities will require a property be listed on the National Register to qualify.

MONTANA ANTIQUITIES ACT (MTAA)

The Montana State Antiquities Act (Montana Code Annotated 22-3-421 through 22-3-442) requires state, federal, and other agencies to consider the effects of their actions on *heritage properties* and *paleontological remains* on state-owned lands. Each state agency is responsible for establishing rules and procedures regarding the preservation of historic resources under their jurisdiction. The SHPO assists agencies in preserving heritage properties and paleontological remains and encourages the avoidance, whenever feasible, of heritage properties or paleontological remains on state-owned lands. The Montana State Antiquities Act review process closely corresponds with the Section 106 review process, although there is no Advisory Council oversight.

The Montana SHPO has adapted the four-step process of the section 106 process to the Montana Antiquity Act. In Montana, the State Antiquities Act applies: “when a project occurs on state owned lands and may have the potential to effect state owned *Heritage Properties*.”

In 2011, the 62nd Legislature of Montana passed Senate Bill 3, amending Sections 22-3-422, 22-3-423, 22-3-424 of the Montana State Antiquities Act. The revised sections require state agencies and the Montana university system to submit a [biennial report](#) to the Preservation Review Board on their stewardship, as well as the status and maintenance needs of the agencies’ heritage properties.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1964

The National Environmental Policy Act requires agencies to consider project impacts on all types of resources: cultural, natural, and economic. The impacts associated with various project alternatives are discussed in an environmental assessment (EA) or an environmental impact statement (EIS). These NEPA documents typically summarize the Section 106 NHPA process.

COORDINATION BETWEEN NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT AND THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

Compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) does not guarantee compliance under Section 106 of the NHPA. While certain federal agency responsibilities are related in purpose under both laws, there are differences in scope and procedure. For example, many actions that qualify as Categorical Exclusions (CEs) under NEPA require further review under Section 106. Moreover, an adverse effect under the NHPA may not require an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under NEPA.

The goal of the Section 106 review process is for federal agencies to identify historic properties potentially affected by a proposed undertaking, assess the effects of the undertaking, and seek ways to avoid, minimize, or mitigate any adverse effects. The initiation of the Section 106 review process should occur early in project planning and in advance of a federal agency making binding decisions regarding the location, design, and siting of a project. By statute, the Section 106 requirements must be met prior to a federal agency approving the expenditure of funds on an undertaking (other than funds for non-destructive planning) or prior to issuance of a license, permit, or approval needed by the undertaking. Further, an agency must complete the NEPA and Section 106 review before signing a decision document. The NEPA review may conclude with a CE, a FONSI, or a ROD. Under the Council on Environmental

Quality's (CEQ) regulations, CEs, EAs, FONSI, and EISs are not decision documents. Agencies should avoid issuing NEPA documents that present a final agency decision before they have completed the Section 106 review process, because the Section 106 process may result in a finding that requires the NEPA document to be revised or supplemented (NEPA and NHPA: A Handbook for Integrating NEPA and Section 106).

If a federal agency is unable to complete eligibility and effect determinations for the entire APE prior to the release of a FONSI or ROD, the ACHP regulations recognize a phased application of the Section 106 review process as an alternative option (36 CFR Part 800.4(b)(2) and 800.5(a)(3)). A project-specific PA detailing the agreed-upon measures for phased identification, evaluation, and effect findings must be completed prior to the signing and release of a decision document.

While NEPA and Section 106 of the NHPA are separate laws, federal agencies are encouraged to coordinate the processes. The ACHP's regulations concerning NHPA-NEPA coordination, integration, and substitution requirements are found at 36 CFR Part 800.8 and 800.14. If a federal agency wishes to substitute the NEPA process for the purposes of Section 106, the federal agency must notify the ACHP and SHPO in advance and follow the standards set out in 36 CFR 800.8(c). Coordination of Section 106 and NEPA may also raise concerns regarding public disclosure or release of sensitive information. Please see the confidentiality subsection for more information on this critical concern. Further information is available in the NHPA and NEPA: A Handbook for Integrating NEPA and Section 106 published by the CEQ and the ACHP.

ANTIQUITIES ACT OF 1906

The Antiquities Act is the United States' oldest law protecting historic, prehistoric, and scientific features on public lands. It gives the president the authority to create new National Monuments. It also prohibited the excavation or removal of antiquities from some federal lands; however, this portion of the law is largely superseded by ARPA. The legacy of the Antiquities Act is that it asserted

the government's interest and control over archaeological resources on federal lands.

HISTORIC SITES ACT OF 1935

While the Historic Sites Act of 1935 has generally been superseded by later laws, it is politically and administratively important because it established the National Park Service as the government's primary historic preservation expert. The National Park service publishes bulletins that are the industry standard with guidelines on identifying and evaluating all types of historic properties.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11593 OF 1972

President Nixon issued Executive Order 11593, it directs agencies to treat any historic property that has been determined eligible for the National Register as if it were listed on the National Register.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES PROTECTION ACT (ARPA) OF 1979

The Archaeological Resources Protection Act prohibits unauthorized excavation, removal, or damage to archaeological resources on federal land that are at least 100 years old. This law has established penalties for damaging these resources including fines, confiscation or property, and prison terms. ARPA recognizes that archaeological resources are an irreplaceable part of the United States' heritage and that damage to those resources is a crime.

AMERICAN INDIAN RELIGIOUS FREEDOM ACT (AIRFA) OF 1979

The American Indian Religious Freedom Act requires the federal government to consider impacts to the free exercise of traditional religion by American Indians. The religious practices, as well as the locations and objects used in those practices are covered by this legislation. While NHPA typically only applies to cultural resources that are less than 50 years old, AIRFA addresses impacts to modern and recent locations.

NATIVE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION ACT (NAGPRA) OF 1990


The Native American Graves and Repatriation Act protects Native American Native American human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony. NAGPRA requires federal agencies and museums to inventory human skeletal remains and offer to repatriate human remains and cultural items to culturally affiliated tribes.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 13007 OF 1996

President Clinton issued Executive Order 13007 to encourage agencies to avoid damage to sacred native American sites and to avoid limiting access to them by tribal practitioners. A sacred site need not be a historic property to merit consideration.

MONTANA HUMAN SKELETAL REMAINS AND BURIAL SITE PROTECTION ACT OF 1999

This is a state law that protects graves on non-federal lands. When human remains are found on private or state-owned land the county coroner should be immediately notified, followed by the State Burial Board.



MONTANA
HISTORICAL SOCIETY
State Historic
Preservation Office

MONTANA SHPO
CONSULTATION GUIDE
APPENDIX G.2

NEPA/NHPA Integration

The National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) are two separate Federal regulations. Montana's State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) serves a specific role in the NHPA process but does not have a corresponding role in NEPA. Our office does not routinely review NEPA documents. However, we will occasionally review or comment on NEPA documents when concerns are brought to our attention. Questions regarding a specific project or the integration of NEPA and NHPA can be directed individually to Montana SHPO staff.

TIMING - The work required under Section 106 of the NHPA must be completed before the NEPA document is signed and finalized. This remains true regardless of the type of NEPA document involved (CE, EA/FONSI, EIS/ROD).

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS (CEs) - Activities that are Categorically Excluded under NEPA still need to be reviewed for compliance under NHPA. Categorically Excluded activities may have the potential to cause effects to Historic Properties (36 CFR 800.8 (b)).

PHASING - Federal Agencies have the option to phase projects. However, agencies can only defer the identification and assessment of historic properties if they have an agreement document, such as a Programmatic Agreement (PA), in place with our office. In circumstances where it is not feasible to complete the Section 106 work prior to the finalization of the NEPA document we urge agencies to consider phasing PAs.

In project-specific phasing PAs, our office expects the agency to outline how the Area of Potential Effect is defined, what identification methodology will be used, and the timeline for consultation and completion. Site evaluations and assessment of effects should occur before implementation when phasing projects. See 36 CFR 800.4 (b)(2) and 36 CFR 800.14(b).

SUBSTITUTION - Agencies may choose to use the NEPA substitution approach, which is when agencies use the process and documentation required for the preparation of an EA/FONSI or an EIS/ROD to comply with Section 106 of the NHPA. If using the substitution approach, you must formally reach out to our office and the ACHP prior to NEPA public scoping, and follow the specific steps outlined in 36CFR 800.8 (c).

MORE INFORMATION -

NEPA and NHPA: A Handbook for Integrating NEPA and Section 106. Published jointly by the Council on Environmental Quality and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation in March 2012

<https://www.achp.gov/digital-library-section-106-landing/nepa-and-nhpa-handbook-integrating-nepa-and-section-106>

36 CFR 800

<https://www.achp.gov/sites/default/files/regulations/2017-02/regs-rev04.pdf>

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation guidance on Agreement Documents, like PAs
<https://www.achp.gov/initiatives/guidance-agreement-document>



Cellular Towers and Antenna Structures

SPECIAL GUIDELINES FOR PREPARING DOCUMENTATION FOR SECTION 106 REVIEW

In This Document:

- I. Cell Tower Projects: Montana FCC Reporting Requirements*
 - II. New Cellular Tower and Antenna Structures*
 - III. Collocation of Wireless Antennas*
-

I. Cell Tower Projects: Montana FCC Reporting Requirements

With the recent increase of new cell tower construction and collocations in Montana, we are also seeing an increase in Section 106 submissions that do not meet our standards. This is an issue not only for our office as it takes a significant amount of time to review these undertakings, but is an issue for you, the consultants, and the companies that hired you. The message that eventually gets to the FCC in Washington D.C. is that Section 106 is delaying the progress of cell tower deployment, when in truth it is the submittal of incomplete work to our office that is causing the delay. To aid you with your FCC submittals, we have come up with a list of tips that address the most common errors. Please be aware that this is not a comprehensive document on how to consult with the MTSHP.

Information on the Section 106 consulting process in Montana can be found at <http://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Archaeology/ConsultingWith>. If you are new to Montana, we highly recommend reading it before starting any Section 106 work.

GENERAL

Section 106 work **MUST** be completed by an individual who is Secretary of the Interior qualified. If you do not know what that means, you are not qualified.

If the tower or collocation is located on a reservation, the cultural report must be submitted to the appropriate THPO for concurrence.

We **DO NOT** provide concurrence after-the-fact. If the tower was already built or the collocation went up before 106 was completed, we will not provide you with a letter of concurrence.

EDIT YOUR WORK! Most of the problems that we see are from sloppy work.

If we have asked for additional information and you are submitting a revised cultural report, please do not resubmit the TCNS 620 or 621 forms.

RECORD SEARCH

For all cell towers and collocations, record searches must be completed **BEFORE** completing fieldwork.

Cultural records staff have 15 days to respond to record search and site form requests, so plan accordingly. If possible, they will complete a rush order, but there are accompanying fees.

The information that you receive in your record search, and the date you received it, need to be included in the cultural report.

FIELDWORK

Your fieldwork should include both the direct APE and visual APE.

The direct APE includes not just the tower location, but any staging areas and access roads.

Photos from eligible or listed cultural resources within the visual APE should be taken looking towards the tower. DO NOT TRESPASS. If you cannot access the property, please get as close as possible. While we do not require these photos, they go a long way in helping explain No Effect or No Adverse Effect determinations.

All field photos should have date stamps. Unfortunately, there have been instances where consultants are using photos provided by the cellular companies and not actually completing the fieldwork. If you are located some distance from Montana, and cannot make the journey to complete the fieldwork, there are local consultants that you can hire (<http://mhs.mt.gov/Portals/11/shpo/docs/Consultants.pdf>).

If you choose not to complete a Class III inventory for a cell project, be prepared to explain your reasoning in the cultural report. If it is questionable whether a survey is necessary or not, contact our office and discuss the project with us.

Just because you decided that a Class III inventory of the direct APE was not necessary, does not mean that you do not have to complete fieldwork for the visual APE.

Unless discussed with our office prior to submittal, using Google Earth images in lieu of actually visiting the site will not be accepted.

CULTURAL REPORT

A report that contains relevant project information and a discussion of potential effects on cultural resources MUST be submitted in addition to the FCC 620/621 form.

- This is NOT an archaeological report that you only submit if you completed a survey or dug shovel probes, this is required for all new tower and collocation submittals to our office.

Guidance for cultural resource reports can be found on our website, within our Consulting with Montana SHPO document (see website above).

- For cell tower reports, we strongly recommend having a section for both an assessment of the Direct APE and Visual APE.

Visual Assessment – If your conclusion for the undertaking is No Adverse Effect to eligible cultural resources within the visual APE, DO NOT just write a sentence saying that. You must document your reasoning for this effect determination.

Maps

- Must show the direct APE, visual APE and ALL cultural resources within both APEs regardless of eligibility.
- The direct APE must be clearly delineated and not just a dot on the map, or pointed to by an arrow.
- Try to keep the maps at a scale of 1:24,000. If they are zoomed out too far we will ask for replacements before we will complete our review.

Photo Sims – We love photo sims, especially if your tower will be in an area with a lot of eligible cultural resources. They are not required, but can go a long way in helping us to understand your effect determination.

SUBMITTING MATERIALS

Review of your submittal will not be completed by our office until we have received the following items, so to avoid delays, we recommend submitting all required material the first time.

- Cover letter
- Report Submittal Form
- Digital copy of the cultural report
- GIS shapefiles

A digital copy of the report and the GIS shapefiles can be submitted with the hard copy of the report on CD or jump drive, or through the Montana file transfer service (<https://transfer.mt.gov/>) to mtshpo@mt.gov. If you will be using the file transfer service, please make sure to put in your cover letter the date the digital information was submitted to our office.

Once all materials have been received by our office, we have 30 DAYS to complete our review. If possible, we will work with you to help you meet your deadlines, but do not expect an expedited review.

FINAL TIP

READ the FCC Section 106 guidelines!!!

If you have any questions about the information provided in this document, you can call or email our compliance team ([link to web contact info](#))

II. New Cellular Tower and Antenna Structures

The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (Montana SHPO) developed the following guidance document to assist Federal Communications Commission (FCC) permit applicants and their consultants with the procedures outlined in the *Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission* (FCC PA for new towers and antenna). Please refer to the Montana State Historic Preservation Office: *Guidelines for Preparation of Section 106 Documentation for the Collocation of Wireless Antenna*, for more information and guidance on proposed collocation undertakings.

Additional general guidance can be found in Consulting with the Montana SHPO: *Guidelines and Procedures for Cultural Resource Review and Consultation under the National Historic Preservation Act and the Montana State Antiquities Act* (Montana SHPO Planning Bulletin No. 21) (see Additional Resources).

RESPONSIBILITY

The FCC PA for new towers and antenna records the terms and conditions agreed upon to fulfill the FCC's responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) as codified by 36 C.F.R. § 800. Under 36 C.F.R. § 800, the Federal agency responsible for the funding, permitting, licensing or approval of a project, activity or program is obligated to fulfill the requirements of Section

106 of the NHPA prior to the execution of the project, activity or program. In the case of cellular tower construction and antenna permitting, the FCC is ultimately accountable for ensuring that the applicant fulfills his/her responsibilities under Section 106. In other words, the FCC may use the services of applicants, consultants, or designees to prepare information, analyses and recommendations, but remains legally responsible for all required eligibility findings and effect determinations. On a side note, the Montana SHPO encourages the coordination of the Section 106 process with any steps taken to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). It is important to mention, however, that the NEPA requirements are not intended to replace the Section 106 process. Please immediately notify the Montana SHPO, if it is discovered that the proposed cellular communication tower was partially or wholly constructed prior to the fulfillment of Section 106 requirements.

AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECT

Montana SHPO's review of all cellular tower and antenna projects includes an evaluation of the project's Area of Potential Effect (APE). Under 36 C.F.R. § 800, the APE is defined as:

The geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may cause changes in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist. The area of potential effect is influenced by the scale and nature of an undertaking and may be different for different kinds of effects caused by the undertaking.

In addition to effects that result from direct physical alteration or destruction, the APE must also account for indirect effects caused by the introduction of visual, audible or atmospheric elements.

The FCC PA requires the following minimum APEs for new towers:

- (a) Within one-half (1 /2) of a mile from the tower site if the proposed tower is 200 feet or less in height;
- (b) Within three-fourths (3 /4) of a mile from the tower site if the proposed tower is more than 200 feet, but no more than 400 feet in overall height; or
- (c) Within one-and-one-half (1 1 /2) miles from the proposed tower site if the proposed tower is more than 400 feet in overall height.

However, the Montana SHPO requests that for all proposed towers the applicant or consultant request at least a one (1) mile radius Class I File Search to determine the existence of significant historic properties adjacent to the required minimum APE.

STEP 1: INITIATE FILE SEARCH

After determining and defining the appropriate direct and indirect APE, the applicant or consultant should request a File Search from the Montana SHPO for information regarding previously identified and recorded cultural resources (historic and archaeological sites) located within the APE. **It is extremely important for all file search requests to indicate the Township, Range, and Section for the entire APE.**

The File Search request should be submitted using the Montana File Search Request Form, which is available online at <http://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Forms.aspx>. Information returned will include: site numbers, locations (Township, Range, and Section), site types, periods, ownerships, and eligibility statuses.

There is a minimal fee associated with processing a File Search. Search results are generally provided in one to three (1-3) calendar days.

Following the File Search, electronic copies of site forms and cultural resource inventories are available for an additional fee.

For more information on File Search requests, please contact Damon Murdo, the Montana SHPO Cultural Records Manager at dmurdo@mt.gov or (406) 444-7767.

STEP 2: LOCAL/TRIBAL CONSULTATION

The Montana SHPO places an emphasis on local and tribal consultation. Therefore, in addition to documentation of Tribal Involvement (through the Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS)), the applicant or consultant should include all relevant information necessary to convey fulfillment of local consultation requirements.

It is important for the applicant or consultant to make a concerted effort to seek out local individuals or organizations with a demonstrated interest in the history of the local area. If the area has a Certified Local Government (CLG) representative (i.e. Local Historic Preservation Officer), then he/she would be the preferred contact. A list of current Montana CLGs is available online at <http://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Communitypres.aspx>. If the area has no CLG, then the applicant or consultant should determine the closest local Historical Society or Museum using internet-based research or other means (see the Museum Association of Montana website at <http://montanamuseums.org/>). In addition to an individual or organization with an interest in local history, the Montana SHPO recommends the applicant or consultant contact a local government official or planning department.

Once the applicant or consultant identifies the appropriate local contacts, he/she should solicit comment on the proposed cell tower in writing. If comment is not provided to the applicant or consultant, all contact information should be provided to the Montana SHPO. If the local representative has concerns about the tower, then these concerns should be addressed and resolved prior to the submittal of the packet to the Montana SHPO.

STEP 3: CULTURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY

The Montana SHPO requests the completion of a stand-alone cultural resource inventory meeting the professional standards outlined in the Consulting with the Montana SHPO: Guidelines and Procedures For Cultural Resource Review and Consultation under the National Historic Preservation Act and the Montana State Antiquities Act. As required by the FCC PA for new towers and antenna, the applicant or consultant must complete a survey of the area of direct effects, as well as a visual impact analysis for any historic properties located within the boundaries of the designated APE.

(Map of project area with survey area, three-quarter mile visual area of potential effect and one mile File Search area.)

STEP 4: CONSULTING WITH THE MONTANA SHPO

The applicant or consultant should provide all documentation of local consultation efforts (including copies of letters) to Montana SHPO in the submission packet. If efforts to communicate with local interested parties are unsuccessful after a reasonable amount of time, the provided contact information fulfills the local consultation requirements.

If the local consulting parties express valid concerns that cannot be resolved, then the applicant or consultant should contact the FCC. If the FCC agrees that the applicant has made a reasonable and good faith effort, the FCC should clearly state its position in a letter addressed to the Montana SHPO. This letter should be submitted with the completed packet to the Montana SHPO for review.

Both a hard-copy and digital copy of the submittal packet should be mailed to the Montana SHPO.

The digital copy can either be submitted as a CD or electronically through the State of Montana File Transfer Service (instructions are available at <https://transfer.mt.gov/>) to mtshpo@mt.gov.

The submission packet must include the completed FCC Form 620, as well as a stand-alone Cultural Resource Inventory Report completed by the consultant. The Cultural Resource Inventory Report should be accompanied by a Cultural Resource Annotated Bibliography System (C.R.A.B.S.) Form (available at <http://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Forms.aspx>).

Upon receipt of the completed packet, the Montana SHPO will review the contents to ensure the applicant or consultant has made a reasonable and good faith effort to determine any potential adverse effects to historic properties located within the APE. After reviewing the provided documentation, the Montana SHPO will respond with a formal response letter within thirty (30) calendar days.

Please include documentation of local and tribal consultation in the submission packet. The more information readily available to the Montana SHPO, the more efficient and effective the Section 106 review process.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

The Consulting with the Montana SHPO: Guidelines and Procedures For Cultural Resource Review and Consultation under the National Historic Preservation Act and the Montana State Antiquities Act (Montana State Historic Preservation Office Planning Bulletin No. 21) is available online at <http://mhs.mt.gov/Shpo/Archaeology/ConsultingWith.aspx>

The Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (September 2004) is available online at <http://wireless.fcc.gov/siting/npa/FCC-04-222A3.pdf>

The Federal Communications Commission Information and Instructions: FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau New Tower Submission Packet is available with additional instruction online at www.fcc.gov/Forms/Form620/620.pdf

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, As Amended in 2006 is available online at <http://www.achp.gov/docs/nhpa%202008-final.pdf>;

The Section 106 Federal Regulations (36 C.F.R. § 800 - Protection of Historic Properties) are available online at <http://www.achp.gov/regs-rev04.pdf>

- [FCC's NHPA guidance](#)

III. Collocation of Wireless Antennas

The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (Montana SHPO) developed the following guidance document to assist Federal Communications Commission (FCC) permit applicants and their consultants with the procedures outlined in the *Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for the Collocation of Wireless Antennas* (FCC Collocation PA). Please refer to the Montana State Historic Preservation Office: *Guidelines for Preparation of Section 106 Documentation for New Cellular Tower and Antenna Structures*, for more information and guidance on proposed new tower and antenna construction.

Additional general guidance can be found in Consulting with the Montana SHPO: *Guidelines and Procedures for Cultural Resource Review and Consultation under the National Historic Preservation Act and the Montana State Antiquities Act* (Montana SHPO Planning Bulletin No. 21).

RESPONSIBILITY

The FCC Collocation PA records the terms and conditions agreed upon to fulfill the FCC's responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) as codified by 36 C.F.R. § 800. It is intended to streamline the Section 106 review of collocation proposals to encourage a reduction in the need for new towers and, thereby, reduce unnecessary potential adverse effects on historic properties.

Under 36 C.F.R. § 800, the federal agency responsible for the funding, permitting, licensing or approval of a project, activity or program is obligated to fulfill the requirements of Section 106 of the NHPA prior to the execution of the project, activity or program. In the case of the collocation of wireless antennas, the FCC is ultimately accountable for ensuring that the applicant fulfills his/her responsibilities under Section 106. In other words, the FCC may use the services of applicants, consultants, or designees to prepare information, analyses and recommendations, but remains legally responsible for all required eligibility findings and effect determinations.

On a side note, the Montana SHPO encourages the coordination of the Section 106 process with any steps taken to meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). It is important to mention, however, that the NEPA requirements are not intended to replace the Section 106 process.

COLLOCATION

In the FCC Collocation PA, "collocation" is defined as, "the mounting or installation of an antenna on an existing tower, building or structure for the purpose of transmitting and/or receiving radio frequency signals for communications purposes."

SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE IN SIZE OF CELLULAR TOWER

The FCC Collocation PA outlines the following instances as a substantial increase in the size of a pre-existing cellular tower:

1. The proposed antenna increases the existing height of the tower by more than ten percent (10%), or by the height of one additional antenna array with separation from the nearest existing antenna not to exceed twenty feet, whichever is greater (the proposed antenna may exceed the abovementioned size restrictions if necessary to avoid interference with existing antenna);
2. The proposed antenna involves the installation of more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved (not to exceed four (4), or more than one (1) new equipment shelter);
3. The proposed antenna involves adding an appurtenance to the body of the tower that protrudes from the edge of the tower more than twenty feet, or more than the width of the tower structure at the level of the appurtenance, whichever is greater (the proposed antenna may exceed the above-mentioned size restrictions if necessary to shelter the antenna from inclement weather or connect the antenna to the tower via cable);
4. The proposed antenna involves excavation outside the current tower site (defined as the current boundaries of the leased or owned property surrounding the tower and any access or utility easements currently related to the site).

COLLOCATION: TOWERS CONSTRUCTED ON OR BEFORE MARCH 16, 2001

The proposed antenna may be mounted on an existing tower (constructed on or before March 16, 2001) without undergoing Section 106 review, unless:

1. The new antenna will result in a substantial increase in the size of the tower;
2. The FCC has determined the tower to have an unresolved adverse effect on one or more historic properties;
3. The tower is the subject of a pending environmental review or related proceeding before the FCC involving compliance with Section 106;
4. The collocation licensee or tower owner has received written or electronic notification that the FCC received a complaint from a member of the public, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), or the Montana SHPO stating that the collocation has an adverse effect on one or more historic properties (complaints must be submitted in writing with substantial supporting evidence).

COLLOCATION: TOWERS CONTRUCTED AFTER MARCH 16, 2001

The proposed antenna may be mounted on an existing tower (constructed after March 16, 2001) without undergoing Section 106 review, unless:

1. The Section 106 review process for the tower and any associated environmental reviews required by the FCC have not been completed;
2. The new antenna will result in a substantial increase in the size of the tower;
3. The FCC has determined the tower to have an unresolved adverse effect on one or more historic properties;

4. The collocation licensee or tower owner has received written or electronic notification that the FCC received a complaint from a member of the public, the ACHP, or the Montana SHPO stating that the collocation has an adverse effect on one or more historic properties (complaints must be submitted in writing with substantial supporting evidence).

COLLOCATION: BUILDINGS AND NON-TOWER STRUCTURES OUTSIDE OF HISTORIC DISTRICTS

The proposed antenna may be mounted on a building or non-tower structure without undergoing Section 106 review, unless:

1. The building or non-tower structure is over forty-five (45) years old;
2. The building or non-tower structure is inside or within 250 feet of the boundary of a historic district;
3. The antenna is visible from the ground level of a historic district;
4. The building or non-tower is a designated National Historic Landmark, or is listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places based on the review of the licensee, tower company, or applicant for an antenna license;
5. The collocation licensee or tower owner has received written or electronic notification that the FCC received a complaint from a member of the public, the ACHP, or the Montana SHPO stating that the collocation has an adverse effect on one or more historic properties (complaints must be submitted in writing with substantial supporting evidence).

For all proposed wireless antenna collocations not excluded from Section 106 review, the applicant or consultant should refer to the Montana State Historic Preservation Office Guidelines for Preparation of Section 106 Documentation for New Cellular Tower and Antenna Structures.

2015 ANTENNA AND COLLOCATION EXCLUSIONS

Collocations on utility structures, including utility poles and electric transmission towers, may be mounted without undergoing Section 106 Review, unless:

1. Deployment exceeds size limitations when measured with other wireless deployments on the same structure.
2. There will be new ground disturbance.
3. The collocation will be placed on a structure :
 - a. within the boundary of , or within 250 feet of the boundary of, a historic district.
 - b. that is a designated National Historic Landmark or is listed/eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).
 - c. the collocation is subject to a pending complaint of alleged adverse effect on historic properties.

Antenna may be mounted without undergoing Section 106 Review, unless:

- 1) They will be in place for more than 60 days.
- 2) They require notice of construction to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).
- 3) Marking or lighting under FAA regulations are required.
- 4) They will be more than 200 feet above the ground

5) More than minimal ground excavation is required.

Collocations on buildings and any other nontower structure, may be mounted without undergoing Section 106 Review, unless:

1. There is not an existing antenna on the building or structure.
2. The collocation does not meet requirements related to visibility and proximity to an existing antenna.
3. There will be new ground disturbance.
4. The collocation will be placed on a structure :
 - a. within the boundary of, or within 250 feet of the boundary of, a historic district.
 - b. that is a designated National Historic Landmark or is listed/eligible for the NRHP.
 - c. the collocation is subject to a pending complaint of alleged adverse effect on historic properties.

Please visit <http://www.fcc.gov/document/wireless-infrastructure-report-and-order> to see the full document that references the above exclusions.



Eligibility Criteria for Irrigation Ditches and Associated Water Control Features

FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES IN MONTANA

Project APEs (Areas of Potential Effect) often intersect with portions of linear features like irrigation systems. When seeking Montana SHPO’s concurrence on eligibility determinations for the National Register of Historic Places, evaluators must first convey the *significance of the entire resource* (i.e., irrigation system) within its historic context, and then evaluate the *integrity of the segment* being recorded. Evaluation can be done through historical research and does not require field recordation of every feature.

Criteria to consider when assessing the eligibility of irrigation ditches/systems includes:

1. Does it have unique construction or features?
2. Does it retain its original features (headgates, overflow structures, siphons, etc.)?
3. Does it basically follow its original alignment?
4. Is it the first ditch or the largest ditch in the area?
5. Was it constructed by or for an important time period or event (Homesteading Act, Great Depression, Carey Act, etc.)?
6. Was it constructed by or for an important individual or group?
7. Is it directly associated with the interpretation of a single historic site?
8. How much water does it convey?
9. Did it serve an important purpose in the development of the local area?
10. How many different individuals/farmsteads does it serve?
11. Is it a primary ditch?
12. Does it have a name?
13. Does it continue to function in its original capacity?
14. Does it appear in the Montana Water Resources Survey?
(<http://dnrc.mt.gov/divisions/water/water-rights/records-unit/survey-books>)

Additional Resources:

www.oregon.gov/oprd/OH/Documents/OR_Linear_Resources_Guidance.pdf

<https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/upload/NRB30-Complete.pdf>

<http://www.thc.texas.gov/public/upload/preserve/survey/survey/Irrigation.pdf> (Pages 229-269)

<https://www.fcgov.com/historicpreservation/pdf/holleran.pdf?1508793205> (Pages 82-88)



Cultural Resource Database Attributes

DEFINITIONS FOR ELIGIBILITY, EFFECT DETERMINATIONS, AND REVIEW ACTIONS USED BY THE MONTANA SHPO

Eligibility Definitions

Demolished/Destroyed/Non-extant: Site, building, resource, property no longer remains at location where it was originally recorded.

DOE (Determination of Eligibility): The agency/individual has gone directly to the National Park Service for a determination of eligibility, and the property was determined eligible.

Eligible: SHPO and the agency agree that the site is eligible by consensus, or a determination has been made by the Keeper of the National Register.

Eligible BLM PA: (Non-Consensus Determination Bureau of Land Management Programmatic Agreement). A prior programmatic agreement with the BLM allowed the BLM to unilaterally declare a site eligible without consensus from MT SHPO. This programmatic agreement is no longer valid, and this determination can no longer be implemented by BLM.

Ineligible: The site has been determined not eligible for the National Register by consensus.

Ineligible BLM PA: (Ineligible Bureau of Land Management Programmatic Agreement) A prior programmatic agreement with the BLM allowed the BLM to unilaterally declare a site as Ineligible without consensus from MT SHPO. This programmatic agreement is no longer valid, and this determination can no longer be implemented by BLM.

NHL: Currently listed as a National Historic Landmark.

NR Listed: Currently listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

Undetermined: This is SHPO's null value. All sites that have never had an eligibility determination in connection with formal consultation will have this finding.

Unresolved: The site's eligibility status is unresolved between SHPO and an agency.

Effect Determinations

Adverse Effect: Indicates agreement that the undertaking would result in an adverse effect to cultural resource(s).

No Adverse Effect: Indicates agreement that the undertaking would not result in an adverse effect to cultural resource(s).

No Effect: Indicates that cultural resources would not be impacted by an undertaking.

No Impact: (*Obsolete category - no longer actively used.*) Indicates when an agency is not looking for a formal No Effect determination, but rather indicates there would be no impact to cultural resources.

Undetermined: (*Obsolete category - no longer actively used.*) Indicates that no effect determination has been done.

Unresolved: Indicates disagreement between SHPO and the agency regarding the effect that the undertaking will have on cultural resources.

Review Action Definitions

Adverse Effect: Concurrence that the undertaking will result in an Adverse Effect to properties within the project area.

Copies: Copies were requested for Site Forms or Inventory Reports.

EA/EIS Comment: EA/EIS where SHPO has commented.

EA/EIS No Comment: EA/EIS where SHPO did not comment.

Eligibility Review: Agency has asked SHPO specifically to comment or concur on eligibility of sites.

File Search/Consultant: File Search has been conducted by SHPO, documents sent, no project comment. File searches are almost exclusively requested by Cultural Resource Consultants.

File Search/No Survey Recommended: File Search has been conducted by SHPO and documents sent. SHPO did not recommend inventory based on low likelihood that cultural properties would be impacted within the project area.

File Search/Survey Recommended: File Search conducted by SHPO and documents sent. SHPO recommended inventory based on cultural properties in area, or high likelihood that they may exist within project area.

MOA Product Review: Review of a stipulation within an on-going Memorandum of Agreement.

MOA/MOU Comment/Review: Indicates a review of or comment on a draft memorandum of agreement or mitigation of adverse effects.

MOA/MOU Signed: When a Final Memorandum of Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding is signed and goes into effect.

NEPA 106/COMMENT: Used exclusively for those projects that are combining the NEPA and Section 106 process as described in 36CFR 800.8. SHPO provided a formal comment on the document.

NEPA 106/FINAL: Used exclusively for those projects that are combining the NEPA and Section 106 process as described in 36CFR 800.8. Reflects the final document submitted for review.

NEPA 106/NO COMMENT: Used exclusively for those projects that are combining the NEPA and Section 106 process as described in 36CFR 800.8. SHPO did not provide a formal comment on the document.

No Adverse Effect: Concurrence that the undertaking will have No Adverse Effect to properties within project area.

Notice/No Comment: Documents received by SHPO that did not receive any review or comment. Examples typically include public notices about planned activities that do not describe Section 106 processes, or projects outside of SHPO jurisdiction (within reservation boundaries or out-of-state).

No Properties Effected: Concurrence that there are No Historic Properties Affected either because there are no historic properties present or because there are historic properties present but the undertaking will have no effect upon them.

Other: Situations not described in any of the other listed review actions. Common examples include consultation on the APE, discussions on possible avoidance measures, and consultation on appropriate identification methods. The MEMO section of the database must be completed describing the situation.

PA Comment/Review: Review of and comments on a draft programmatic agreement.

PA Product Review: Review of a stipulation within an on-going programmatic agreement.

PA Signed: When a Final Programmatic Agreement is signed and goes into effect.

Review Only: Projects that received SHPO staff review but did not receive or require a

formal concurrence letter. These situations are often defined in programmatic agreements.

Tax Act/Part I Draft Review: When SHPO staff reviews and comments back to the applicant on the applicant's draft Part I.

Tax Act/Part I Submitted: When SHPO submits a Part I to the National Park Service.

Tax Act/Part II Draft Review: When SHPO staff reviews and comments back to the applicant on the applicant's draft Part II.

Tax Act/Part II Submitted: When SHPO submits a Part II to the National Park Service.

Tax Act/Part III Draft Review: When SHPO staff reviews and comments back to the applicant on the applicant's draft Part III.

Tax Act/Part III Submitted: When SHPO submits a Part III to the National Park Service.

Tax Act/Amendment-Advisory

Determination Draft Review: When SHPO staff reviews and comments back to the applicant on the applicant's draft Amendment-Advisory Determination sheet.

Tax Act/Amendment-Advisory

Determination Submitted: When SHPO submits an Amendment-Advisory Determination sheet to the National Park Service.

Unresolved: Indicates the submission to SHPO was incomplete, and the necessary documents were requested. This review action may also indicate that SHPO and the agency disagreed about a substantive issue, such as eligibility or effect.